

SAINT JOHN RIVER BASIN
Limestone, Maine

WEBSTER BROOK DAM ME 00229

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

The original hardcopy version of this report
contains color photographs and/or drawings.
For additional information on this report
please email



U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
New England District
Email: Library@nae02.usace.army.mil

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
Waltham, Mass. 02154

SEPTEMBER 1981

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

407-102

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER ME 00229	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Webster Brook Dam NATIONAL PROGRAM FOR INSPECTION OF NON-FEDERAL DAMS		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED INSPECTION REPORT
7. AUTHOR(s) U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS NEW ENGLAND DIVISION		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s)
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS DEPT. OF THE ARMY, CORPS OF ENGINEERS NEW ENGLAND DIVISION, NEDED 424 TRAPELO ROAD, WALTHAM, MA. 02254		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		12. REPORT DATE September 1981
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 57
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) UNCLASSIFIED
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) APPROVAL FOR PUBLIC RELEASE: DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Cover program reads: Phase I Inspection Report, National Dam Inspection Program; however, the official title of the program is: National Program for Inspection of Non-Federal Dams; use cover date for date of report.		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) DAMS, INSPECTION, DAM SAFETY, Saint John River Basin Limestone Maine LimestoneStream		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The earthfill embankment is 1050 ft. long and 66 ft. high. The embankment dam principal spillway drop inlet, principal spillway impact basin and emergency spillway were found in good condition. The dam is classified as intermediate in size with a high hazard potential. No urgent or emergency actions are required for the dam based on this inspection.		

TC557

.m2

ME 229

C.1

[WEBSTER BROOK DAM, Limestone...]

ME 00229

ST. JOHN RIVER BASIN
LIMESTONE, MAINE

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT
NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT

Identification No. : ME 00229
Name of Dam : Webster Brook Dam
(Trafton Lake)
Town : Limestone
County & State : Aroostook, Maine
Stream : Limestone Stream
Date of Inspection : November 6, 1979

BRIEF ASSESSMENT

Webster Brook Dam is an eleven year old recreational and flood water retarding structure designed by the USDA Soil Conservation Service. The earth fill embankment is 1050 feet long and 66 feet high. The downstream slope, the crest, and the upstream slope above the recreation pool are grass covered. A reinforced concrete drop inlet principal spillway leads to a 30 inch diameter reinforced concrete conduit under the dam that ends in a reinforced concrete impact basin. A grass lined earth cut emergency spillway is provided at the left abutment. A normal pool of small to intermediate size (approximately 51' deep and 1,700 ac.-ft.) is maintained behind the dam.


The embankment dam, principal spillway drop inlet, principal spillway impact basin and emergency spillway were found in good condition. In the embankment itself, there were no dips, sags or other evidence of distress. The reinforced concrete structures were sound with no evidence of deterioration. The grass cover on the downstream embankment, crest and emergency spillway were well developed. The grass cover on the upstream face was very sparse. Stagnant water was observed at the toe of the downstream slope to the right of the downstream outlet structure.

Based on a maximum storage of 6080 acre-feet and a height of 66 feet, Webster Brook Dam falls within the intermediate size classification. The dam's hazard classification has been established as high based on the potential for loss of more than a few lives in the event of a dam failure. The test flood was estimated for the 4.06 square mile drainage area of rolling terrain using the "Preliminary Guidance for Estimating Maximum Probable Discharges in Phase I Safety Investigations", New England Division Corps of Engineers, March 1978. The test flood was the PMF. This yielded a peak inflow of 5140 cfs (1270 csm) and a routed peak outflow of 2680 cfs. The computed maximum reservoir level El. 590.4 NGVD was below the embankment crest El. 595 NGVD and no overtopping of the

46017 1913

embankment would occur. The capacity of the dam is greater than 100% of the test flood.

No urgent or emergency actions are required for Webster Brook Dam based on this inspection. Remedial measures include monitoring the project during periods of intense rainfall, developing a downstream warning system, establishing a monthly visual inspection program and conducting bi-annual technical inspections of the dam.



J.E. Giles, Jr., P.E.
Project Manager
Massachusetts Registration No. 1643

CORPS OF ENGINEERS

SIGNATURE PAGE

PREFACE

This report is prepared under guidance contained in the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams, for Phase I Investigations. Copies of these guidelines may be obtained from the Office of Chief of Engineers, Washington, D.C. 20314. The purpose of a Phase I Investigation is to identify expeditiously those dams which may pose hazards to human life or property. The assessment of the general condition of the dam is based upon available data and visual inspections. Detailed investigation, and analyses involving topographic mapping, subsurface investigations, testing, and detailed computational evaluations are beyond the scope of Phase I investigation: however, the investigation is intended to identify any need for such studies.

In reviewing this report, it should be realized that the reported condition of the dam is based on observations of field conditions at the time of inspection along with data available to the inspection team. In cases where the reservoir was lowered or drained prior to inspection, such action, while improving the stability and safety of the dam, removes the normal load on the structure and may obscure certain conditions which might otherwise be detectable if inspected under the normal operating environment of the structure.

It is important to note that the condition of a dam depends on numerous and constantly changing internal and external conditions, and is evolutionary in nature. It would be incorrect to assume that the present condition of the dam will continue to represent the condition of the dam at some point in the future. Only through continued care and inspection can there be any chance that unsafe conditions be detected.

Phase I inspections are not intended to provide detailed hydrologic and hydraulic analyses. In accordance with the established Guidelines, the Spillway Test flood is based on the estimated "Probable Maximum Flood" for the region (greatest reasonably possible storm runoff), or fractions thereof. Because of the magnitude and rarity of such a storm event, a finding that a spillway will not pass the test flood should not be interpreted as necessarily posing a highly inadequate condition. The test flood provides a measure of relative spillway capacity and serves as an aid in determining the need for more detailed hydrologic and hydraulic studies, considering the size of the dam, its general condition and the downstream damage potential.

The Phase I Investigation does not include an assessment of the need for fences, gates, no-trespassing signs, repairs to existing fences and railings and other items which may be needed to minimize trespass and provide greater security for the facility and safety to the public. An evaluation of the project compliance with OSHA rules and regulations is also excluded.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
Letter of Transmittal	
Brief Assessment	
Review Board Page	
Preface	i
Table of Contents	ii-iv
Overview Photo	v
Location Map	vi

REPORT

1. PROJECT INFORMATION	
1.1 General	1-1
a. Authority	1-1
b. Purpose of Inspection	1-1
c. Scope of Inspection Program	1-1
1.2 Description of Project	1-2
a. Location	1-2
b. Description of Dam and Appurtenances	1-2
c. Size Classification	1-2
d. Hazard Classification	1-3
e. Ownership	1-3
f. Operator	1-3
g. Purpose of Dam	1-3
h. Design and Construction History	1-3
i. Normal Operational Procedure	1-3

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
1.3 Pertinent Data	1-3
2. ENGINEERING DATA	
2.1 Design Data	2-1
2.2 Construction Data	2-1
2.3 Operation Data	2-1
2.4 Evaluation of Data	2-1
3. VISUAL INSPECTION	
3.1 Findings	3-1
a. General	3-1
b. Dam	3-1
c. Appurtenant Structures	3-1
d. Reservoir Area	3-2
e. Downstream Channel	3-2
3.2 Evaluation	3-2
4. OPERATIONAL AND MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES	
4.1 Operational Procedures	4-1
a. General	4-1
b. Description of any Warning System in Effect	4-1
4.2 Maintenance Procedures	4-1
a. General	4-1
b. Operating Facilities	4-1
4.3 Evaluation	4-1
5. EVALUATION OF HYDRAULIC/HYDROLOGIC FEATURES	
5.1 General	5-1
5.2 Design Data	5-1

<u>Section</u>	<u>Page</u>
5.3 Experience Data	5-1
5.4 Test Flood Analysis	5-1
5.5 Dam Failure Analysis	5-2
6. EVALUATION OF STRUCTURAL STABILITY	6-1
6.1 Visual Observation	6-1
6.2 Design and Construction Data	6-1
6.3 Post-Construction Changes	6-1
6.4 Seismic Stability	6-1
7. ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS AND REMEDIAL MEASURES	
7.1 Dam Assessment	7-1
a. Condition	7-1
b. Adequacy of Information	7-1
c. Urgency	7-1
7.2 Recommendations	7-1
7.3 Remedial Measures	7-1
7.4 Alternatives	7-1

APPENDIXES

APPENDIX A - INSPECTION CHECKLIST	A-1
APPENDIX B - ENGINEERING DATA	B-1
APPENDIX C - PHOTOGRAPHS	C-1
APPENDIX D - HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS	D-1
APPENDIX E - INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN THE NATIONAL INVENTORY OF DAMS IN THE UNITED STATES	E-1



WEBSTER BROOK DAM
VIEW FROM RIGHT BANK OF RESERVOIR

NATIONAL DAM INSPECTION PROGRAM

PHASE I INSPECTION REPORT

WEBSTER BROOK DAM, LIMESTONE MAINE TRAFTON LAKE

SECTION I

PROJECT INFORMATION

1.1 General

- a. Authority - Public Law 92-367, August 8, 1972 authorized the Secretary of the Army, through the Corps of Engineers, to initiate a National Program of Dam Inspection throughout the United States. The New England Division of the Corps of Engineers has been assigned the responsibility of supervising the inspection of dams within the New England Region. Chas. T. Main, Inc. has been retained by the New England Division to inspect and report on selected dams in the State of Maine. Authorization and notice to proceed were issued to Chas. T. Main, Inc. under a letter of November 6, 1979 from Max B. Scheider, Colonel, Corps of Engineers. Contract No. DACW 33-80-C-0011 has been assigned by the Corps of Engineers for this work.
- b. Purpose - The purposes of the inspection program are:
 - (1) To perform technical inspection and evaluation of non-Federal dams to identify conditions which threaten the public safety and thus permit correction in a timely manner by non-Federal interests.
 - (2) To encourage and prepare the states to initiate effective dam safety programs for non-Federal dams.
 - (3) To update, verify and complete the National Inventory of Dams.
- c. Scope of Inspection Program - The scope of this Phase I inspection report includes:
 - (1) Gathering, reviewing and presenting all available data as can be obtained from the owners, previous owners, the state and other associated parties.

(2) A field inspection of the facility detailing the visual condition of the dam, embankments and appurtenant structures.

(3) Computations concerning the hydraulics and hydrology of the facility and its relationship to the calculated flood through the existing spillway.

(4) An assessment of the condition of the facility and corrective measures required.

It should be noted that this report does not pass judgment on the safety or stability of the dam other than on a visual basis. The inspection is to identify those features of the dam which need corrective action and/or further study.

1.2 Description of Project

- a. Location - The Webster Brook Dam is located on Webster Brook, approximately 2.5 miles above its confluence with Limestone Stream. The dam is approximately 2 miles south of the Town of Limestone, Maine. The small community of Four Corners lies approximately 1/2 mile directly downstream from the dam. The impounded water is known as Trafton Lake. The site is included on the U.S.G.S. 7.5 minute series Quadrangle, "Limestone, Maine", with approximate coordinates of N46°52'44", W67°49'53". (Also found on the U.S.G.S. 15 minutes series Quadrangle, "Ft. Fairfield, Maine.")
- b. Description of Dam and Appurtenances - The project is a dual purpose recreation and floodwater retarding structure. It consists of three principal features: an earthfill dam, a principal spillway, and an emergency spillway. The dam is 1050 feet long, 66 feet high, and 20 feet wide at its crest. Material excavated from the reservoir area was used for the fill in the dam. The upstream slope is 3:1 and the downstream slope is 2.5:1. The fill materials are of glacial till origin with zoning limited to placing the more impervious material in the core and the more pervious material in the outside shells. The structure has a toe drain system with collector pipes and a central cutoff trench.

The principal spillway is an ungated drop intake to a 30 inch diameter reinforced concrete pipe under the dam. The 30-inch pipe is provided with anti-seep collars and discharges into a reinforced concrete impact basin (energy dissipator). The emergency spillway is an excavated, grass lined, earth channel adjacent to the left abutment. The contour of the property on the downstream side of the emergency spillway allows for flows through this spillway to be carried away from the dam. The emergency spillway is 100 feet wide at the crest with an elevation of 588.6 feet NGVD and approximately 2 horizontal to 1 vertical side slopes. The dam is equipped with a 18" gated reservoir drain. The normal recreation pool is maintained at a constant elevation by a 1'-10" x 1'-10" ungated overflow opening in

the principal spillway riser. This orifice (Invert Elev. 580.0 NGVD) is a double opening, each being 7.5 feet wide by 1.25 feet high with a 6" radius weir. The maximum depth of the reservoir is normally 51 ft.

Plans, profiles, and sections of the dam and its appurtenant structures are included in Appendix B. Photographs are shown in Appendix C.

- c. Size Classification - The maximum embankment height is 66 feet above the stream channel and the maximum storage is 6080 acre feet at El. 595.0 NGVD. This gives the dam an intermediate size classification based on both the storage and the height (greater than 10,000 ac-ft or higher than 40 ft and less than 50,000 ac-ft and 100 ft). This is in accordance with the Recommended Guidelines for Safety Inspection of Dams.
- d. Hazard Classification - This facility is classified as a high hazard potential dam based on the potential for loss of more than a few lives in the event of a dam failure. The dam breach analysis shows that in the small community of Four Corners (approximately 3000' immediately downstream) at least six houses would be impacted with a flood wave having an initial depth of approximately 25'-30'.
- e. Ownership - The dam and associated works are owned by the Town of Limestone, Maine.
- f. Operators - The project is designed for unsupervised operation. No manual operations are required to pass a flood flow. The project is operated and maintained by the Town of Limestone, Maine. The responsible person is Mr. Thomas Stevens, Town Manager, Limestone, Maine 04750, Telephone (207) 325-3131. (At the time of the field inspection the Town Manager was Mr. Peerless J. Snow).
- g. Purpose of Dam - The project is a dual purpose recreation and floodwater retarding structure of standard USDA SCS design. The reservoir is maintained at El. 580 (1700 ac. ft.) for fish and recreation purposes.
- h. Design and Construction History - The project was designed by the USDA Soil Conservation Service, Orono, Maine; constructed by H. E. Sargent, Inc., Stillwater, Maine; and completed in 1969. No post-construction changes are reported or observed.
- i. Normal Operating Procedures - The reservoir is normally maintained at El. 580 NGVD for recreation purposes. All flood flows are passed through the principal and emergency spillways which are designed for uncontrolled discharge. If the reservoir level drops below El. 580 the 18" drain can be opened to allow downstream flow to continue. No other operating procedures are in evidence.

1.3 Pertinent Data

- a. Drainage Area - Webster Brook Dam controls a drainage area of 4.06 square miles. The watershed is approximately 35 percent wooded and 65 percent agricultural. The slopes are gentle with one small marshy pond area upstream. The elevation range of the watershed is from 720' to 530' NGVD.

b. Discharge at Damsite

(1) Outlet Works - The high-stage principal spillway orifice is a double opening (each is 7.5 feet wide x 1.25 feet high) with a sill at Elev. 585.0 NGVD. This ungated orifice opens into a vertical concrete riser that discharges through a 30" diameter concrete conduit, invert Elev. 530.0 NGVD. A 1'-10" x 1'-10" low-stage ungated opening in the riser shaft controls the recreation pool elevation, holding it to Elev 580 NGVD during normal conditions. The emergency spillway is an excavated, grass lined, earth channel with a crest at El. 588.6 NGVD. A screw operated sluice gate and 18"Ø CMP provide the capability to drain the reservoir to El. 531.0 NGVD. This 18" drain discharges into the 30" conduit.

(2) Maximum known flood - Unknown.

(3) Principal spillway capacity at top of dam - N/A.* *Conf*

(4) Principal spillway capacity at emergency spillway crest elevation - 190 cfs.

(5) Gated spillway capacity at normal pool elevation - N/A. *190*

(6) Principal spillway capacity at test flood elevation - 193 cfs.

(7) Emergency spillway capacity at test flood elev. - 2680 cfs @ El. 590.4 NGVD.

(8) Total project discharge at top of dam - N/A.* *2*

(9) Total project discharge at test flood elevation - 2680 cfs @ 590.4 NGVD.

* Note: This has not been determined since the PMF never reaches the top of the dam.

c. Elevations (feet above NGVD)

(1) Streambed at toe of dam	529.0
(2) Bottom of cutoff	524.0
(3) Maximum tailwater	Not available

(4) Normal pool (Max. Depth = 51')	580.0
(5) Full flood control pool	588.6
(6) Principle spillway crest	
(a) low stage	580.0
(b) high stage	585.0
(7) Emergency spillway crest	588.6
(8) Design surcharge (Original Design)	589.5
(9) Top of dam	595.0
(10) Test flood surcharge	590.4
d. <u>Reservoir</u> (Length in feet)	
(1) Normal pool	4600
(2) Flood control pool	5850
(3) Spillway crest pool @ Elev. 585.0	4870
(4) Top of dam	7500
(5) Test flood pool	6800
e. <u>Storage</u> (acre-feet)	
(1) Normal pool	1700
(2) Flood control pool	3500
(3) Spillway crest pool @ Elev. 585.0	2600
(4) Top of dam	6080
(5) Test flood pool	4110

f. Reservoir Surface (acres)

(1) Normal pool	104
(2) Flood-control pool	144
(3) Spillway crest	124
(4) Test flood pool	153
(5) Top of dam	193

g. Dam

(1) Type	Earthfill
(2) Length	1050 feet
(3) Height	66 feet
(4) Top Width	20 feet
(5) Side Slopes	Upstream 3 Hor. to 1 Vert. Downstream 2.5 Hor. to 1 Vert.
(6) Zoning	2 zones
(7) Impervious Core	Most impervious toward the core
(8) Cutoff	5' trench
(9) Grout curtain	None
(10) Other	N/A

h. Diversion and Regulating Tunnel - None

i. Spillway

- (1) Type - Reinforced concrete riser to 30" ϕ conduit
- (2) Length of weir - 7.5 feet x 2
- (3) Crest elevation - El. 585.0
- (4) Gates - ungated
- (5) U/S Channel - N/A

(6) D/S Channel - Natural

(7) General - Reinforced Concrete Impact Basin at Outfall

Items Numbered 8 through 10 refer to the Emergency Spillway

(8) Crest - El. 588.6

(9) Length of crest - 100 feet

(10) U/S Channel - Grass lined earth channel

(11) D/S Channel - Grass lined earth channel

(12) General - 2 Hor. to 1 Vert. side slopes

j. Regulating Outlets

(1) Invert - El. 531.0

(2) Size - 18" ϕ CMP

(3) Description - Sluice gate to drain reservoir

(4) Control Mechanism - 18" ϕ Sluice gate w/screw operator

(5) Other - 1' 10" x 1' 10" ungated low stage overflow opening in principle spillway riser; invert elevation 580.0'.

SECTION 2

ENGINEERING DATA

2.1 Design

As built drawings of Webster Brook Dam are on file at the GSA Federal Archives and Records Center, 380 Trapelo Road, Waltham, MA 02154 (617-223-2657). Design calculations and specifications were not available. The December 1964 Limestone Stream Watershed Work Plan indicates that:

" . . .hydrology and hydraulics analyses followed procedures given in the National Engineering Handbook of the Soil Conservation Service, Section 4, Supplement A, Hydrology (NEH 4A) and Section 5, Hydraulics (NEH 5)."

and for civil works:

"All designs are in accord with the latest Soil Conservation Service design criteria as set forth in Engineering Memoranda SCS-27, 31, 4D and 42; Technical Release No. 10; Section 3.21, Hydrology, Supplement A of the National Engineering Handbook; U.S. Weather Bureau Technical Paper No. 40; and other sources of recognized engineering material."

2.2 Construction

The Webster Brook Dam and appurtenances were constructed in 1969 by H. E. Sargent, Inc. of Stillwater, Maine. No construction records or photographs were available to the inspection team. A set of "as built" construction prints pertinent to this report are included in Appendix B.

2.3 Operation

No formal operational procedures were available for review. The principal and emergency spillways are uncontrolled structures requiring no manual operations.

2.4 Evaluation

a. Availability: The following information was made available by the SCS, Orono, Maine, office:

(1) As-built drawings (Appendix B).

(2) Limestone Steam Watershed Work plan, by Central Aroostook Soil Conservation District, December 1964.

(3) Information storage and retrieval data (Appendix E.)

Other pertinent engineering data such as the original design calculations are stored at the Federal Records Center in Waltham, Massachusetts and were not readily available.

- b. Adequacy: The lack of design calculations did not allow for a definitive review. Evaluation is based on visual inspection, past performance history and engineering judgment and experience.
- c. Validity: The limited data available restrict evaluation of the Webster Brook Dam and appurtenances to the visual inspection and engineering judgment. The field inspection indicated that the external features of Webster Brook Dam substantially agree with those shown on the available plans.

SECTION 3

VISUAL INSPECTION

3.1 Findings

- a. General - The field inspection was conducted by L. Seward and J. Jonas of Chas. T. Main, Inc. on 6 November 1979, and J.E. Giles, Jr. on August 12, 1981. On the date of inspection, the Webster dam and appurtenances were in good condition. No urgent or emergency actions are required at this time.
- b. Dam
 - (1) Crest - The embankment crest was true to line with no apparent dips, sags, cracks or other evidence of distress (Photo 1). The top of the settled dam is given as Elev. 595.0 NGVD (see drawing 7 of 21, page B-8). The top of the "constructed dam" is given at Elev. 597.0' NGVD (see drawing 4 of 21, page B-5). This 2 foot variance at the center of the dam was designed so as to allow for the natural settlement of the structure over the lifetime of the project. The visual inspection shows the crest to have a slight crown which is in agreement with the as-built drawing (Photo 1). The crest is grass covered with no pavement.
 - (2) Upstream slope - The upstream slope riprap appeared in good condition. The slope above the normal pool El. 580 has a sparse grass cover. There was no evidence of sloughing or erosion on the slope.
 - (3) Downstream slope - The downstream slope has a well developed, tight grass cover (Photo 4). No significant gully action was observed on the slope. No slides or sags were observed.
 - (4) Downstream toe - The downstream toe is generally dry with no boils or seeps observed. Stagnant water was evident to the right of the outlet structure.
 - (5) Underdrain system - Two 6-inch diameter toe drain collector pipes issue from the dam adjacent to the principal spillway outlet. These outlets had no observed flows.
 - (6) Instrumentation - No instrumentation was observed.
- c. Appurtenant Structures
 - (1) Principal Spillway - The principal spillway intake (Photo 2 and 3) was observed from shore. The exposed concrete and steel trashrack appeared in good condition.

(2) Outlet works - The outlet impact basin (Photo 5) was found in good condition. All construction joints were tight. No spalling was observed. The reservoir drain inlet was submerged and could not be inspected. The outlet conduit could not be inspected. The control mechanism for the drain was reported to have been recently operated without problems by the Limestone Town Manager.

(3) Emergency spillway - The emergency spillway was clear of debris and in good condition with a well developed grass cover (Photo 7).

- d. Reservoir Area - No areas of potential or actual shoreline movement were observed. There is a small pond located in the reservoir headwaters with a small dike separating the two. The top of this dike is at approximate Elev. 585. A 30" Ø corrugated steel conduit connects the two bodies of water. At the end of the conduit is a timber outlet structure (Photo 8).
- e. Downstream Channel - The downstream channel (Photo 6) was clear with no evidence of erosion. Further downstream, Webster Brook flows under Center Road and Route 165. It passes under Center Road through two corrugated steel culverts (Photo 9), one at 80" Ø and one at 27" Ø. It passes under Rte 165 through a bridge structure having an opening of 4' x 20' wide.

3.2 Evaluation - In general, the dam and appurtenances are in good condition. The slopes are stable and the crest is in good shape. The concrete structures are sound. No urgent or emergency repairs are required.

SECTION 4

OPERATIONAL AND MAINTENANCE PROCEDURES

4.1 Operational Procedures

- a. General: The principal and emergency spillways are uncontrolled overflow structures. No manual operations are required to insure safe passage of a flood flow.
- b. Description of Downstream Warning System: No warning system or emergency evacuation plans are in effect for this project.

4.2 Maintenance Procedures

- a. General: The Town of Limestone has an operation and maintenance agreement with the Soil Conservation Service. Each dam is inspected at least once annually and after every major storm. An inspection report is prepared and any required maintenance is then performed by the town.
- b. Operating Facilities: There are no manual operating facilities at this structure except for the reservoir drain gate on the principal spillway riser. Recent operation of the drain was reported. No regular maintenance procedures for the project operating facilities are specified.

4.3 Evaluation

The operating and maintenance procedures are limited for this project. The owner should establish procedures to inspect the structures regularly, continue to keep the embankment free of brush and trees, and to monitor the project during periods of intense rainfall.

The owner should arrange to have a technical inspection made on a bi-annual basis. The owner should establish a warning system to follow in the event of emergency conditions.

SECTION 5

EVALUATION OF HYDROLOGIC AND HYDRAULIC FEATURES

- 5.1 General - The watershed is 4.06 square miles of undeveloped rolling terrain. The dam is located on the Webster Brook, about 2.7 miles upstream from the confluence with Limestone Stream. The dam develops sufficient storage to reduce the Test Flood peak from 5140 cfs (1270 csm) to 2680 cfs (about 48% reduction).
- 5.2 Design Data - The dam was designed by the Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture. The original hydrologic/hydraulic design called for the principal spillway to pass the 100 year flood and the emergency spillway to pass the Probable Maximum Precipitation (PMP) storm. The maximum height of the dam is 66 feet (capacity 6080 ac. ft.) and is classified as an intermediate size dam. The principal spillway consists of a reinforced concrete riser, a gated reservoir drain, a 30" diameter conduit with anti-seep collars and an energy dissipating structure at the outlet with a rip-rapped channel. The dam has an emergency spillway located adjacent to the left abutment. The drawings show that the bottom width is 100 feet with a crest at Elev. 588.6 feet. The drawings give the channel depth at the crest as 6.4 feet with channel side slopes of 2:1 (see sketch on page D-10).
- 5.3 Experience Data - There are no records of past floods or overtopping of the dam.
- 5.4 Test Flood Analysis - Based upon "Preliminary Guidance for Estimating Maximum Probable Discharge", dated March 1978, the watershed classification (rolling), and hydraulic computations, the test flood for this high hazard, intermediate size dam is estimated to be equivalent to the PMF of 5140 cfs. The flood routing starting elevation was selected to be the principal spillway crest elevation (585 ft), and the inflow hydrograph peak was reduced by the volume between emergency spillway crest and principal spillway intake elevations. For this portion of Maine, the Maximum Probable Runoff is assumed to be 13 inches. The routed test flood outflow was determined in accordance with Corps of Engineers "Guidance for Estimating Effect of Surcharge Storage on Maximum Probable Discharges", and the hydraulic characteristics of the dam. Spillway discharge was computed as open channel flow, ~~as being more conservative.~~ The routed test flood outflow was determined to be approximately 2680 cfs, and corresponding water surface elevation 590.4 NGVD ft. The top of the dam is 595.0 NGVD and thus the dam will not be overtopped. ~~The emergency spillway capacity (4860 cfs) is more than 100 percent of the Test Flood (almost 200 percent).~~
- 5.5 Dam Failure Analysis - The volume in the reservoir corresponding to the water surface Elev. 590.4 NGVD is 4110 ac.-ft. which is the value used in this dam failure analysis. The impact of failure of the dam was assessed

As a check, a second test flood routing was performed assuming weir control in the ⁵⁻¹ emergency spillway. The dam was not overtopped under this condition.

using the "Rule of Thumb Guidance for Estimating Downstream Dam Failure Hydrographs" prepared by the Corps of Engineers. The breach discharge was estimated with the maximum water surface elevation during a Test Flood event. The breach width was selected to be 35 percent of the length of the dam at mid-height. The downstream discharge is a sum of the breach discharge and the discharge from the spillway. The total peak discharge was estimated to be 158,396 cfs. *512' in 100'*

The result of the calculations are included in Appendix D. The conclusion of this dam failure analysis is that at least six houses located approximately 3000' downstream in the small community of Four Corners will be impacted by a flood wave with an initial depth of approximately 25'-30'. (See the Location Map, page vi, for the Downstream Flood Impact Area.) From the USGS map it is estimated that these subject houses are about 10 feet above the streambed. The prefailure channel level in this area was calculated to be approximately 7 feet. Thus, an unexpected dam failure at Webster Brook Dam will result in a potential loss of more than a few lives and serious property damage.

Give hazard classification

SECTION 6

EVALUATION OF STRUCTURAL STABILITY

6.1 Visual Observation

The visual inspection on November 8, 1979 revealed no dips, sags, depressions or other evidence of instability.

6.2 Design and Construction Data

Original design calculations and construction records were not available for review in preparing this report. The construction drawings for the dam were reviewed. A typical construction specification for Durepo Brook Dam was reviewed as it was reported to be similar to the Webster Brook specification.

6.3 Post Construction Changes

No evidence of modification to the dam since construction was observed or reported.

6.4 Seismic Stability

The dam is located in Seismic Zone No. 2 and, in accordance with recommended Phase I guidelines, does not warrant seismic analysis.

SECTION 7

ASSESSMENT, RECOMMENDATIONS AND REMEDIAL MEASURES

7.1 Dam Assessment

- a. Condition - The visual inspection indicates that Webster Brook Dam is in good condition. The inspection revealed that there is a small area of stagnant water to the right of the spillway outlet at the toe with limited seepage observed in this area.
- b. Adequacy of Information - The lack of in-depth engineering data did not allow for a definitive review. Therefore, the adequacy of this dam could not be assessed from the standpoint of reviewing design and construction data but is based primarily on visual inspection, past performance history and engineering judgment.
- c. Urgency - The recommendations and remedial measures presented below should be implemented by the owner within two years of receipt of this Phase I Inspection Report.

7.2 Recommendations - None

7.3 Remedial Measures The owner should:

- a. Establish a system to monitor the project during periods of intense rainfall.
- b. Develop a downstream warning system to be used in case of an emergency at the dam.
- c. Implement a monthly visual inspection program of the dam and its appurtenances. Observations should be noted in a maintenance log.
- d. Continue to keep the embankment free of brush and trees and continue to keep the grass mowed.
- e. Conduct a technical inspection of the project every two years.
- f. Obtain and maintain a set of as-built drawings and inspection reports.

7.4 Alternatives

There are no practical alternatives to the recommendations of Sections 7.2 and 7.3.

APPENDIX A

FIELD INSPECTION CHECK LIST

INSPECTION CHECKLIST
PARTY ORGANIZATION

PROJECT Webster Brook Dam
(Trafton Lake)

DATE Nov. 6, 1979

TIME 9:00

WEATHER Fair Cold

U.S. ELEV. _____ U.S. _____ DN.S. _____

PARTY:

- | | |
|---|-----------|
| 1. <u>Lewis B. Seward - Hydrologist</u> | 6. _____ |
| 2. <u>Jan N. Jonas - Civil Engineer</u> | 7. _____ |
| 3. <u>Peerless J. Snow - Limestone Town</u>
<u>Manager</u> | 8. _____ |
| 4. <u>J.E. Giles, Jr. - Project Manager</u> | 9. _____ |
| 5. _____ on August 12, 1981 | 10. _____ |

PROJECT FEATURE

INSPECTED BY

REMARKS

- | | |
|--|--|
| 1. <u>All of the project features were inspected by each of the party members.</u> | |
| 2. _____ | |
| 3. _____ | |
| 4. _____ | |
| 5. _____ | |
| 6. _____ | |
| 7. _____ | |
| 8. _____ | |
| 9. _____ | |
| 0. _____ | |

INSPECTION CHECKLIST

PROJECT Webster Brook Dam

DATE Nov. 6, 1979

PROJECT FEATURE Earthfill dam

NAME Lewis B. Seward

DISCIPLINE Hydro

NAME Jan N. Jonas

AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS
<u>DAM EMBANKMENT</u>	
Crest Elevation	597
Current Pool Elevation	not known
Maximum Impoundment to Date	736 ac.ft
Surface Cracks	none visible
Pavement Condition	grassed slopes with riprap on u/s
Movement or Settlement of Crest	none noticeable
Lateral Movement	none noticeable
Vertical Alignment	good
Horizontal Alignment	no change
Condition at Abutment and at Concrete Structures	no damage to embankment-riprap
Indications of Movement of Structural Items on Slopes	none
Trespassing on Slopes	none
Vegetation on Slopes	thick grass
Sloughing or Erosion of Slopes or Abutments	none
Rock Slope Protection - Riprap Failures	u/s with riprap, d/s grassed - no failure
Unusual Movement or Cracking at or near Toes	none
Unusual Embankment or Downstream Seepage	limited seepage d/s right from outlet - stagnant water at toe
Piping or Boils	none
Foundation Drainage Features	drain holes in both wing walls d/s no water was flowing
Toe Drains	none visible
Instrumentation System	none noticed

INSPECTION CHECKLIST

PROJECT Webster Brook Dam

DATE Nov. 6, 1979

PROJECT FEATURE Earthfill Dam

NAME Lewis B. Seward

DISCIPLINE Hydro

NAME Jan N. Jonas

AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS
<u>OUTLET WORKS - INTAKE CHANNEL AND INTAKE STRUCTURE</u>	
a. <u>Approach Channel</u> Slope Conditions Bottom Conditions Rock Slides or Falls Log Boom Debris Condition of Concrete Lining Drains or Weep Holes	Not applicable
b. <u>Intake Structure</u> Condition of Concrete Stop Logs and Slots	New concrete - very good none, uncontrolled spillway with trashracks

INSPECTION CHECKLIST

PROJECT Webster Brook Dam DATE Nov. 6, 1979
 PROJECT FEATURE Earthfill dam NAME Lewis B. Seward
 DISCIPLINE Hydro NAME Jan N. Jonas

AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS
<u>OUTLET WORKS - CONTROL TOWER</u>	
1. <u>Concrete and Structural</u>	same as intake tower
General Condition	very good
Condition of Joints	tight
Spalling	none
Visible Reinforcing	none
Rusting or Staining of Concrete	none
Any Seepage or Efflorescence	not applicable
Joint Alignment	good
Unusual Seepage or Leaks in Gate Chamber	not applicable
Cracks	none
Rusting or Corrosion of Steel	none
2. <u>Mechanical and Electrical</u>	
Air Vents	none
Float Wells	none
Crane Hoist	none
Elevator	none
Hydraulic System	none
Service Gates	none
Emergency Gates	hand operated gate valve from top of intake structure
Lightning Protection System	none
Emergency Power System	none
Wiring and Lighting System in Gate Chamber	none

INSPECTION CHECKLIST

PROJECT Webster Brook Dam

DATE Nov. 6, 1979

PROJECT FEATURE Earthfill dam

NAME Lewis B. Seward

DISCIPLINE Hydro

NAME Jan N. Jonas

AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS
<u>OUTLET WORKS - TRANSITION AND CON- DUIT</u> General Condition of Concrete Rust or Staining on Concrete Spalling Erosion or Cavitation Cracking Alignment of Monoliths Alignment of Joints Numbering of Monoliths	Concrete pipe embedded in dam not exposed for inspection

INSPECTION CHECKLIST

PROJECT Webster Brook Dam

DATE Nov. 6, 1979

PROJECT FEATURE Earthfill dam

NAME Lewis B. Seward

DISCIPLINE Hydro

NAME Jan N. Jonas

AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS
<u>OUTLET WORKS - OUTLET STRUCTURE AND OUTLET CHANNEL</u>	
General Condition of Concrete	very good
Rust or Staining	none
Spalling	none
Erosion or Cavitation	none
Visible Reinforcing	none
Any Seepage or Efflorescence	none
Condition at Joints	tights
Drain Holes	at wing walls
Channel	grassed cut slopes
Loose Rock or Trees Overhanging Channel	none
Condition of Discharge Channel	very good - no obstacles, grassed banks

INSPECTION CHECKLIST

PROJECT Webster Brook Dam

DATE Nov. 6, 1979

PROJECT FEATURE Earthfill dam

NAME Lewis B. Seward

DISCIPLINE Hydro

NAME Jan N. Jonas

AREA EVALUATED	CONDITIONS
<u>OUTLET WORKS - SPILLWAY WEIR, APPROACH AND DISCHARGE CHANNELS</u>	
a. <u>Approach Channel</u>	
General Condition	satisfactory - grassed slopes
Loose Rock Overhanging Channel	none
Trees Overhanging Channel	none
Floor of Approach Channel	weathered rock covered with grass
b. <u>Weir and Training Walls</u>	
General Condition of Concrete	no concrete used - earth and rock
Rust or Staining	cut slopes, unpaved floor
Spalling	
Any Visible Reinforcing	
Any Seepage or Efflorescence	
Drain Holes	
c. <u>Discharge Channel</u>	
General Condition	nothing downstream
Loose Rock Overhanging Channel	
Trees Overhanging Channel	
Floor of Channel	
Other Obstructions	

APPENDIX B
ENGINEERING DATA

- Note:
1. All design records are in storage at the:
National Archives and Records Service
GSA Federal Archives and Records Center
380 Trapelo Road, Waltham, Massachusetts 02154
617-223-2657
 2. No past inspection reports were available for review or are known to exist.




LIST OF ENCLOSED DRAWINGS

	<u>Sheet Number</u>
<u>1.</u> Plan of Storage and Borrow Area	2 of 21
<u>2.</u> Plan of Dam Site	3 of 21
<u>3.</u> Cutoff Trench Details	4 of 21
<u>4.</u> Fill Placement and Spillway Excavation	5 of 21
<u>5.</u> Drainage Details	6 of 21
<u>6.</u> Principle Spillway	7 of 21
<u>7.</u> Test Pits Logs	19 of 21
<u>8.</u> Test Pits Logs	20 of 21


References

Material from the following references was extracted and incorporated herein:






- a. "Limestone Stream Watershed Work Plan" Central Aroostook Soil Conservation District December, 1964.
- b. U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, "Webster Brook Site Drawings", Project No. ME-504-P, (21 sheets) 1967 series.
- c. "Durepo Brook - Invitation to Bid" March 1971 SCS construction specification (Typ.)
- d. SCS Technical Information Storage and Retrieval System Printout.



LEGEND
Borrow Areas
Work Limits






LIMESTONE STREAM WATERSHED PROJ.			
MULTI-PURPOSE STRUCTURE DAM NO. 3			
WEBSTER BROOK SITE			
LIMESTONE, MAINE			
PLAN OF STORAGE & BORROW AREA			
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE			
SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE			
Designed G. P. BOWIE	Date 3-67	Approved by _____	
Drawn M. ATLAS	3-67	Title _____	
Traced _____	Sheet _____	Drawing No _____	
Checked E. J. S.	6/67	No 2 of 21	
ME-504-P			











CONSTR. DETAIL:

1. THE FOUNDATION SURFACE THROUGHOUT THE BASE OF THE DAM, SHALL BE SCARIFIED TO A DEPTH OF 6" AND COMPACTED, PRIOR TO PLACEMENT OF EARTH FILL.
2. ZONE 2 MATERIAL TO APPROXIMATE THE PROPORTIONS OF THE "MIX" WHICH INCLUDES UP TO 3 FEET OF WEATHERED ROCK.





CONSTRUCTION NOTES:

ALL DRAIN PIPE SHALL CONFORM TO SPECIFICATION 110 AND SHALL BE 10" DIA., 16 GAUGE, SHAPE 1 CLASS I TYPE D, PERFORATED PIPE.



THE PROFILES OF THE BOTTOM OF ALL EXCAVATIONS AS SHOWN ARE ONLY APPROXIMATE. THE REQUIRED FINISHED GRADES WILL BE ESTABLISHED BY THE ENGINEER.

SUMMARY OF QUANTITIES (THIS SHEET)

2335	CY. YDS. OF DRAIN FILL
228	OF 10" DIA. PERFORATED PIPE
4	30° ELBOWS
1	105° ELBOW
2	METAL END CAPS

DESIGN DATA FOR DRAIN FILL

SIEVE NO.	% PASSING	
	ZONE NO. 1	ZONE NO. 2
3"	100	
3/4"	70-100	
No. 4	25-62	88-100
No. 10	0-33	65-95
No. 20	0-4	42-71
No. 40	0	22-52
No. 60		6-38
No. 100		0-24
No. 200		0-5




LIMESTONE STREAM WATERSHED PROJ.
MULTI-PURPOSE STRUCTURE DAM NO. 3
WEBSTER BROOK SITE
LIMESTONE, MAINE
DRAINAGE DETAILS

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE**

Designed: G. P. BOWIE Date: 2-67 Approved by: _____
 Drawn: M. ATLAS Date: 2-67 Title: _____
 Traced: _____ Title: _____
 Checked: _____ Title: _____

Sheet No. 6 of 21 Drawing No. ME-504-P



JOINT	DISTANCE FROM RISER WALL	INVERT ELEV. OF 30" I.D. PIPE	SLOPE
J-1	0.33	530.00	0.00
J-2	8.33	529.96	
J-3	24.33	529.88	
J-4	40.33	529.79	
J-5	56.33	529.70	
J-6	72.33	529.62	
J-7	88.33	529.53	
J-8	104.33	529.44	
J-9	120.33	529.35	
J-10	136.33	529.27	
J-11	152.33	529.19	
J-12	168.33	529.10	
J-13	184.33	529.01	
J-14	200.33	528.93	
J-15	216.33	528.84	
OUTLET	232.33	528.75	

ALTERNATE "A"


JOINT	DISTANCE FROM RISER WALL	INVERT ELEV. OF 30" I.D. PIPE	SLOPE
J-1	0.33	530.00	0.00
J-2	12.33	529.94	
J-3	32.33	529.83	
J-4	52.33	529.72	
J-5	72.33	529.61	
J-6	92.33	529.51	
J-7	112.33	529.40	
J-8	132.33	529.29	
J-9	152.33	529.18	
J-10	172.33	529.08	
J-11	192.33	528.97	
J-12	212.33	528.86	
OUTLET	232.33	528.75	

ALTERNATE "B"

NOTE:
DIMENSIONS FOR PIPE LENGTHS ARE BASED ON NOMINAL LENGTHS AND DO NOT INCLUDE CREEP

COLLAR	DISTANCE FROM RISER WALL	INVERT ELEV. OF 30" DIA. CONDUIT
I	19.33	529.90
II	43.33	529.77
III	67.33	529.64
IV	90.00	529.51
V	115.33	529.38
VI	139.33	529.25
VII	163.33	529.12

- NOTE:
1. RESERVOIR DRAIN SHALL CONFORM TO SPEC. 110 AND SHALL BE 18" I.D., 16 GAUGE, SHAPE I, CLASS I, TYPE D NON-PERFORATED.
 2. ALL CONCRETE CONDUIT SHALL CONFORM TO MATERIAL SPEC. 109.
 3. DEPRESS RIPRAP AROUND OUTLET DRAIN PIPES




ALTERNATE "A"
30" INSIDE DIA. REINF. CONC. WATER PIPE
(14) 16' SECTIONS
(1) 8' SECTION
TOTAL FT. 232.3

ALTERNATE "B"
30" INSIDE DIA. REINF. CONC. WATER PIPE
(11) 20' SECTIONS
(1) 12' SECTION
TOTAL FT. 232.3

LOAD = 59,400 LBS PER LIN. FT. BASED ON O.D. OF 36.5"
MIN. 3 EDGE BEARING STRENGTH FOR 0.001" CRACK
(NON-PRESTRESSED PIPE) = 16,054 LBS PER LIN. FT.
AWWA C-300

MIN. 3 EDGE BEARING STRENGTH FOR 0.01" CRACK
(PRESTRESSED PIPE) = 21,350 LBS PER LIN. FT.
AWWA C-301



LIMESTONE STREAM WATERSHED PROJ.
MULTI-PURPOSE STRUCTURE DAM NO. 3
WEBSTER BROOK SITE
LIMESTONE, MAINE
PRINCIPAL SPILLWAY

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

Designed by G. P. BOWIE 2-67
Drawn by M. ATLAS 2-67
Traced by [blank]
Checked by [blank]

Date 2-67
Approved by [blank]
Title [blank]
Sheet No. 7 of 21
Drawing No. ME-504-P

REVISED 7/11/67 C

Note:
1. For Legend & Notes See
Sheet No 20 of 21, ME-504-P.

LIMESTONE STREAM WATERSHED PROJ.
MULTI-PURPOSE STRUCTURE DAM NO. 3

WEBSTER BROOK SITE
LIMESTONE, MAINE
TEST PITS LOGS

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TYPED BY: L. R. GERRY Date 3-67 Approved by: *W. E. Enos*
Title: *Geologist*

Title	
Traced	Sheet
	Drawing No.
	No. 19
	ME-504-P

TP-132 - R. Abutment - Borrow

Ground watertable at surface.

TP-133 - R. Abutment - Borrow

0 - 1.0 Silt. Gravelly (20%). Much organic matter. (ML)
1.0 - 3.5 Silty silt. Fine sand, about 20%. (ML)
3.5 - 6.0 Very large cobbles and boulders with sand matrix. (GP)

TP-134 - R. Abutment - Borrow

0 - 2.0 Sandy gravel. (SP)
At 2.0 Limestone. (LS)

TP-135 to TP-137 - R. Abutment - Borrow

Shallow to rock, less than 3' of soil.

TP-138 - R. Abutment - Borrow

0 - 1.0 Gravelly silt. (ML)
1.0 - 8.0 Clayey sandy gravel. About equal percentages of fines, sand and gravel, 5% cobbles and boulders, 1' max. Dam. Hard. Low perm. No dil. Mod. Plastic. Very cohesive. Till. Good borrow. Sandy cobbles (1/2"). Sample 138.1 OC. No bedrock at 8'. (OC)

TP-139 - R. Abutment - Borrow

0 - 1.0 Top soil. (ML)
1.0 - 4.0 Clayey sandy gravel. Mod. yellowish-brown. About 10% fines, 10% f. to c. sand, 15% f. to c. gravel, 5% cobbles, 6" max. Dam. Hard. Low perm. Mod. plastic. Very cohesive. Till. (wood borrow). Limestone. weathered (LS)

TP-140 - R. Abutment - Borrow

0 - 2.0 Silt. (ML)
2.0 - 4.5 Silty sand. Water at 4.5'. (SM)

TP-141 - R. Abutment - Borrow

0 - 2.0 Silty sandy gravel or gravelly silty sand. (GP-SP)

TP-142 - R. Abutment - Borrow

0 - 5.0 Silty gravelly sand or sandy gravel. Wet below 4'. (SM)

TP-143 - L. Abutment - Borrow

0 - 2.0 Organic silt. (ML)
2.0 - 6.5 Clayey sandy gravel. About 40% fines, 20% sand, 40% gravel. Dam. Hard. Low perm. Mod. plastic. Mod. cohesive. Till. Weathered limestone. (LS)

TP-144 - L. Abutment - Borrow

0 - 2.0 Clayey gravel. (OC)
2.0 - 7.0 Sandy gravel. (OC)
at 7.0 Limestone. (LS)

TP-145 - L. Abutment - Borrow

0 - 1.0 Topsoil. (ML)
1.0 - 6.0 Clayey sandy gravel. Till. (OC)

TP-146 - L. Abutment - Borrow

0 - 1.0 Topsoil. (ML)
1.0 - 4.5 Clayey sandy gravel. Water at 4.5'. (OC)

TP-147 - R. Abutment - Borrow

0 - 1.0 Topsoil. (ML)
1.0 - 2.5 Clayey sandy gravel. (OC)
At 2.5 Limestone. (LS)

TP-201 - E Sta. 5475

0 - 0.5 Topsoil. Gravelly silt. (ML)
0.5 - 3.0 Sandy silty gravel. Mod. yellowish-brown. About 20% fines, 30% f. to c. sand, 50% f. to c. gravel. Particles are mixture of weathered limestone and hard durable rock. Dam. Loose. Very perm. Nonplastic. Noncohesive. Slope wash. Very weathered limestone. Can be broken by hand, less weathered at 4.5'. (LS)

TP-202 - 100' N of TP 201

0 - 0.5 Gravelly silt. (ML)
0.5 - 4.0 Silty fine sand with gravel size chunks of weathered limestone. About 25% fines, 40% f. to c. sand, 35% m. to c. gravel, 5% cobbles. Moist. Loose. Very perm. Nonplastic. Noncohesive. Weathered limestone is extremely soft. (SM)

At 4.0 Weathered limestone. (LS)

TP-203 - 100' N of TP-202

0 - 0.5 Topsoil. Many roots. (ML)
0.5 - 2.5 Silty fine sand with chunks of weathered limestone. About 25% fines, 40% f. to c. sand, 30% f. to c. gravel, 5% cobbles. Mostly weathered limestone - broken by hand - some hard gravel. Dam. Soft and loose. Very perm. Nonplastic. Noncohesive. Terrace Dep. Sand has been water deposited. (SM)

TP-204

0 - 0.5 Topsoil. Gravelly silt. (ML)
0.5 - 2.0 Silty sand gravel. Yellowish-brown. About 25% fines, 30% f. to c. sand, 45% f. to c. gravel. Particles are 60% hard and resistant rock. Dam. Loose. Mod. perm. Slightly plastic. Slightly cohesive. Till. Extremely weathered limestone. (SM)

TP-205

0 - 0.5 Topsoil. (ML)
0.5 - 5.5 Silty silty gravel. Yellowish-brown. About 25% fines, 30% f. to c. sand, 45% f. to c. gravel, 5% cobbles. Particles are mostly hard and durable. Dam. Hard. Mod. perm. Slightly plastic and cohesive. Till. Weathered limestone. (SM)

TP-206

0 - 0.5 Topsoil. (ML)
0.5 - 6.5 Silty sand with gravel and cobbles of weathered limestone and hard durable rock. About 20% fines, 40% f. to c. sand, 40% f. to c. gravel and cobbles. Weathered limestone. (SM)

TP-207

0 - 0.5 Topsoil. (ML)
0.5 - 3.5 Silty gravelly sand. About 20% fines, 50% f. to c. sand, 30% f. to c. gravel, small percentage of cobbles. Particles are a mixture of weathered limestone and hard rock. Dam. Soft. Very perm. Nonplastic. (SM)

TP-208

3.5 - 8.0 Clayey sandy gravel. Greyish-brown. About 30% fines, 30% f. to c. sand, 35% f. to c. gravel, 5% cobbles. Dam. Hard. Low perm. Mod. plastic. Mod. cohesive. Till. Good borrow. Limestone. (OC)

TP-209

At 8.0 Limestone. (LS)

TP-210

0 - 0.5 Topsoil. (ML)
0.5 - 4.5 Silty clayey gravel. About 25% fines, 30% f. to c. sand, 35% f. to c. gravel, 10% cobbles. Particles are mostly hard and durable. Dam. Hard. Mod. perm. Mod. plastic. Mod. cohesive. Till. 0.5' of sand over bedrock. Weathered limestone. (OC)

TP-211

At 5.0 Limestone. (LS)

TP-212

0 - 1.0 Topsoil. Gravelly silt. (ML)
1.0 - 6.0 Gravelly sand. Yellowish-brown. About 15% fines, 50% f. to c. sand, 35% f. to c. gravel. Dam. Loose. Very perm. Nonplastic and noncohesive. At and below 4', gravel particles are predominantly weathered limestone. (SM)

TP-213

At 6.0 Weathered limestone. (LS)

TP-214

0 - 0.5 Topsoil. (ML)
0.5 - 1.0 Silty gravelly sand. About 25% fines, 50% f. to c. sand, 25% f. to m. gravel. Moist. Soft. Mod. perm. Slightly plastic. Slightly cohesive. Particles are predominantly weathered limestone. (SM)

TP-215

4.0 - 5.0 Very weathered limestone. (LS)

TP-215 - 100' W of ES E Sta. 5400

0 - 0.5 Topsoil. (ML)
0.5 - 3.0 Clayey gravelly sand. About 30% fines, 50% f. to c. sand, 20% f. to c. gravel. Dam. Soft. Low perm. Mod. plastic. Mod. cohesive. (SM)

TP-216 - E Sta. 10400

0 - 0.5 Topsoil, gravelly silt. (ML)
0.5 - 9.0 Variable mixture of silt, sand, gravel and cobbles. Some 2' boulders. Dam. Loose. Very perm. Nonplastic. Noncohesive. Side hill terrace. Limestone. (SM)

TP-501 - Trench 75' downstream, parallel to trench of TP-1

0 - 2.0 Topsoil - with roots. Gravel particles are soft weathered pieces of limestone. Many roots. (OC)
1.5 - 2.0 Limestone. Same as TP-1. Vertical crack was noted - filled with weathered calcite. Parallel to strike, rock can be ripped easily. (LS)

TP-502 - E Sta. 15450, 100' downstream

0 - 3.0 Gravelly silt. Yellowish-brown. About 30% f. to c. gravel - rotten limestone. Dry. Soft. Low perm. Mod. plastic. Slightly cohesive. Slope wash. (ML)
At 3.0 Limestone. Same as TP-1. Vertically bedded, thinly laminated silty limestone, interlayered with very thin laminations of mudstone. (LS)

TP-503 - E Sta. 15400, 100' downstream

0 - 3.0 Silt. Yellowish-brown. About 80% fines, 10% f. to c. sand, 10% f. to c. gravel. Wet. Soft. Low perm. Rapid Dilatancy. Very plastic. Mod cohesive. Floodplain deposits. Much organic matter in silt. Water on surface. Poorly graded gravel. About 10% fines, 10% f. to c. sand, 75% m. to c. gravel. Wet. Loose. High perm. No dilatancy. Nonplastic. Outwash. Hole caving. Water flowing through gravel. At bottom of hole a few fragments of a very cohesive OC were retrieved. There may be a layer at this level. (ML)

TP-504 - E Sta. 12470, 100' downstream

0 - 0.5 Topsoil. (ML)
At 0.5 Limestone. Weathered (LS)

TP-505 - E Sta. 11475, 100' downstream

0 - 0.5 Topsoil, many grass roots. (ML)
0.5 - 4.5 Silty clayey gravel. Mod. yellowish-brown. About 20% fines, 30% f. to c. sand, 50% f. to m. gravel. Mostly weathered limestone fragments. Moist. Loose. Very perm. Slightly plastic. Slightly cohesive. Limestone - very weathered (LS)

TP-506 - E Sta. 8475, 100' downstream

0 - 0.5 Topsoil - ML with many roots. (ML)
0.5 - 5.0 Poorly graded fine sand with gravel and cobbles size particles of weathered limestone. About 50% sand and 50% gravel and cobbles. Chunks easily broken by hand. Soft. Loose. Moist. Very perm. Nonplastic. Noncohesive. Terrace outwash. Limestone - weathered (SP)

TP-507 - E Sta. 9450, 100' downstream

0 - 0.5 Topsoil. ML with many roots. (ML)
0.5 - 5.5 Poorly graded fine sand with weathered chunks of rock. About 50% fine sand, 50% gravel and cobbles. Dam. Loose. Very perm. Nonplastic. Noncohesive. Terrace dep. Limestone - weathered (SP)

TP-508 - E Sta. 8440, 100' downstream

0 - 1.0 Gravelly silt. ML topsoil. (ML)
1.0 - 3.0 Silty clayey gravel. (SM)
3.0 - 4.0 Extremely weathered limestone. Crumbles to sand and fines silt in hand. (LS)
At 4.0 More resistant limestone - weathered

TP-509 - E Sta. 7480, 75' downstream

0 - 0.5 Topsoil. (ML)
0.5 - 3.0 Fine sand with chunks of weathered limestone. Dam. Loose. Very perm. Nonplastic. Noncohesive. (SP)
3.0 - 5.0 Clayey sandy gravel. Dark yellowish-brown. About 35% fines, 30% f. to c. sand, 35% f. to c. gravel. Dam. Hard. Low perm. Mod. plastic. Mod. cohesive. (OC)
5.0 - 7.0 Weathered limestone. (LS)

LEGEND

DM-1	Drill hole (3/4" I.D.)
TP-1	Test Pit (backhoe)
GWT	Ground water table.
10YR 5/4	Refers to Munsell Rock & Soil Color coding system.
PP	Pocket Penetrometer, (Fems/SP)
MM	2 1/8" Rock core from series M core barrel.
K	Permeability rate.
M	Blows/6", 2" O.D. Split tube sampler. 140# hammer, 30" drop.
u.s. or d.s.	Upstream or downstream.
E.S.	Emergency Spillway.
S-2-R	Core run with MM core barrel.

TEST HOLE NUMBERING SYSTEM

Centerline of dam	1-99
Borrow Area	101-199
Emergency Spillway	201-299
Centerline of outlet structure	301-399
Stream Channel	401-499
Relief walls	501-599
	601-699
	701-799

UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM SYMBOLS

GW	Well graded gravels; gravel-sand mixtures
GP	Poorly graded gravels.
GM	Silty gravels; gravel-sand-silt mixtures
GC	Clayey gravels; gravel-sand-clay mixtures
SW	Well graded sand; sand-gravel mixtures
SP	Poorly graded sand
SM	Silty sands; sand-silt mixtures
SC	Clayey sand; sand-clay mixtures
ML	Silt; silty, v. fine sand; sandy or clayey silts
CL	Clays of low to medium plasticity; silty, sandy or gravelly clays.
CH	Clays of high plasticity; fat clays
MI	Inorganic silts; micaceous or diatomaceous silts
OL	Organic silts and organic silty clays of low plasticity
OH	Organic clays or silts of medium to high plasticity

BEDROCK SYMBOLS

B	Basalt	So	Schist
Gn	Gneiss	Sh	Shale
Gr	Granite	Sl	Siltstone
Ls	Limestone	Ss	Sandstone
Ma	Marble		

SAMPLES

DS	Disturbed
US	Undisturbed
Note:	All descriptions and classifications based on visual observations and the Unified Soil Classification System.
	All test pits and drill holes are located on plans and profiles for the site.
	Test pitting accomplished from 9/27/65 to 10/6/65. Drilling accomplished from 11/9/65 to 1/20/66. All test holes logged by D. Brinkman, Geologist.
	All drill holes were cased with 3.5" drive casing in overburden. The rock was cored with an MM series M core barrel. All test pits were dug with a hydraulic backhoe mounted on a Case 310 crawler.
(OC)	Visual Classification
(ML)	Lab Classification

Note: Test pits 135 to 137 shallow to rock (less than 3 feet)

LIMESTONE STREAM WATERSHED PROJ.			
MULTI-PURPOSE STRUCTURE DAM NO. 3			
WEBSTER BROOK SITE			
LIMESTONE, MAINE			
TEST PITS LOGS			
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE			
SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE			
Designed.....	Date.....	Approved by.....	
TYPED BY: L.R.GERRY 3-67		Title.....	
Traced.....	Sheet.....	Drawing No.....	
Checked by.....	6/67	ME-504-P	

DN-1 E Sta. 13490 Elev. 529'

0 - 4.0 Feet and more. Water on surface. (PT)
4.0-8.0 Gravelly silty sand. Yellowish brown. (SM-SW)
About 10% fines, 60% f. to c. sand, 30% f. to c. gravel. Wet. Very permeable. Rapid dilatancy. Slightly plastic. Non-cohesive. Alluvium.
5.0-5.5, N=22
5.5-6.0, N=19
6.0-6.5, N=20

Very little water loss.
6.0-19.3 Gravelly silty sand. Olive grey. (SM-SW)
About 15% fines, 50% f. to c. sand, 25% f. to m. gravel. Wet. Loose. Very permeable. Rapid dilatancy. Slightly plastic. Slightly cohesive. Rock particles are hard and semi-round. Alluvium.
10.0-10.5, N=18
10.5-11.0, N=23
11.0-11.5, N=20
15.0-15.5, N=23
15.5-16.0, N=19
16.0-16.5, N=24

Falling head perm test at 10', K=5 ft/day.
Constant head perm test at 15', K=2.6 ft/day.
Constant head perm test at 16', K=0.9 ft/day.
Large boulder 16.0' to 19.3'.

At 19.3 Bedrock. (Limestone)
Bluish-grey, thin and vertically bedded silty limestone with thin laminations of whitish limestone. Bedding is extremely irregular and crenulated. Rock is badly fractured and has weathered seams running parallel to bedding core blocking every 6' to 8'.

R-1 19.4'-19.9', 100% rec.
R-2 19.9'-20.3', 100% rec.
R-3 20.3'-21.0', 67% rec.
R-4 21.0'-22.0', 75% rec.
R-5 22.0'-22.1', 100% rec.
R-6 22.1'-24.1', 100% rec.
R-7 24.1'-26.0', 100% rec.
R-8 26.0'-27.3', 100% rec.
R-9 27.3'-29.0', 83% rec.
R-10 29.0'-30.0', 80% rec.
R-11 30.0'-33.0', 67% rec.
R-12 33.0'-36.0', 67% rec.
Constant head perm test at 33', K=4.3 ft/day.
Constant head perm test at 38', K=3.1 ft/day.
Pressure flow test 21.7'-27', K=0.1 ft/day.
Pressure flow test 16.7'-21.7', K=0.85 ft/day.
Pressure flow test 27'-38', K=0.05 ft/day.
Pressure flow test 22'-27', K=0.08 ft/day.
Pressure flow test 27'-32', K=0.1 ft/day.
Pressure holding test, 32'-38', no loss.
Fractured rock falls into drill hole.
Entire length of core is fractured.

DN-2 E Sta. 11448 Elev. 530.5'

0 - 5.0 Feet and more, roots and logs. Wet. (PT)
5.0-10.0 Gravelly silty sand, varying to a silty gravel. Yellowish brown. (SM-SW)
About 15-20% fines, 40-55% f. to c. sand, 25-40% f. to m. gravel. Wet. Loose. Moderately permeable. Rapid dilatancy. Slightly plastic. Slightly cohesive. Alluvium. Angular gravel particles. No water loss in constant head tests.
5.0'-5.5', N=13
5.5'-6.0', N=13
6.0'-6.5', N=16
10.0'-10.5', N=16
10.5'-11.0', N=17
11.0'-11.5', N=13
15.0'-15.5', N=128

At 10.0 Bedrock. (Limestone)
Vertically bedded bluish-grey silty limestone with calcite veins.
R-1 10.5'-20.5', 33% rec.
R-2 20.5'-25.5', 88% rec.
R-3 25.5'-30.5', 100% rec.
First 0.5' of bedrock cored with carbide.
Pressure flow test 27'-30.5', K=0.004 ft/day.
Pressure flow test 20.5'-30.5', K=0.35 ft/day.
Pressure flow test 15.5'-30.5', K=0.65 ft/day.
Top 8' of bedrock is very fractured.

DN-3 E Principal Spillway Station 5480 Elev. 535.5

0 - 8.0 Silty gravel. Yellowish brown. (GM)
About 10% fines, 30% f. to c. sand, 60% f. to m. gravel (semi-round). Wet. Loose. Mod. permeable. Moderate dilatancy. Slightly plastic. Slightly cohesive.
5.0'-5.5', N=10
5.5'-6.0', N=10
6.0'-6.5', N=14
Rapid loss of drill water.
Ground water table at 7'.

6.0-14.5 Silty gravelly sand. Yellowish brown. (SM)
About 15% fines, 60% f. to c. sand, (angular & flat), 25% f. to m. gravel. Wet. Loose. Moderately permeable. Rapid dilatancy. Slightly plastic. Slightly cohesive.
10.0'-10.5', N=4
10.5'-11.0', N=11
11.0'-11.5', N=18
Silt layer 12.0'-12.5'
Constant head test at 10.0, K=6.3 ft/day.

At 14.5 Bedrock. (Limestone)

Thinly bedded silty limestone.
R-1 14.5'-16.5', 90% rec.
R-2 16.5'-20.5', 95% rec.
R-3 20.5'-24.5', 100% rec.
Constant head test at 11.5' K=2.5 ft/day.
No pressure loss in all pressure holding tests in bedrock.
The rock core is only severely fractured in the upper 2'.

DN-4 E Principal Spillway Station 3435 Elev. 533.5'

0 - 2.0 Cobbles and boulders - fieldstone
2.0-9.0 Gravelly sandy silt. Olive grey (VL)
About 50% fines, 25% f. to c. sand, 25% f. to m. gravel. Wet. Soft. Moderate permeability. Rapid dilatancy. Slightly plastic. Slightly cohesive.
5.0'-5.5', N=16
5.5'-6.0', N=20
6.0'-6.5', N=18
Ground water table at 1.9'.
Constant head test at 5.0' and 9.0' failed due to water leaking around casing.

At 9.0 Bedrock. (Limestone)
Bluish-grey thinly laminated silty limestone. Bedding is near vertical. Many calcite veins. Top 2' of rock is extremely fractured and contains dark organic matter.
R-1 9'-11', 95% rec.
R-2 11'-14', 105% rec.
R-3 14'-19', 98% rec.
R-4 19'-24', 95% rec.
Pressure flow test 10.5' to 15.5' K=0.05 ft/day.
Pressure holding test, no loss below 15'.

DN-5 E Sta. 12460 Elev. 550.5

0 - 3.0 Topsoil. (VL)
3.0-3.0 Bedrock. (Limestone)
Thinly bedded silty limestone. Beds are extremely crenulated. Many calcite veins. The rock is generally very fractured.
R-1 3.0'-5.0', 25% rec.
R-2 5.0'-9.4', 80% rec.
R-3 9.4'-12.4', 75% rec.
R-4 12.4'-18.4', 97% rec.
R-5 18.4'-23.4', 96% rec.
R-6 23.4'-25.4', 92% rec.
R-7 25.4'-28.4', 93% rec.
R-8 28.4'-33.4', 60% rec.
Ground water table at 12.3'.
Two severe fracture zones are located from 3.0' to 5.0' and 23.0' to 28.0'.
Pressure holding test, instant loss, 4.0'-9.0'. Water leaking around packers.
Pressure flow test 9-33.4, K=17 ft/day.
Pressure flow test 11-33.4, K=17 ft/day.
Pressure flow test 19-33.4, K=22 ft/day.
Pressure flow test 25-33.4, K=15 ft/day.
Pressure flow test 20.0-26.0, K=6 ft/day.
Pressure holding test, 14.0'-19.0', no loss.
Many fractures filled with fines. 3" void at 23.6'.

DN-6 E Sta. 11415 Elev. 571

0 - 5.0 Clayey gravel mixed with layers of clean sand. (OC-SW)
Constant head test at 5.0, K=3.8 ft/day.
At 5.0 Bedrock. (Limestone)
Bluish-grey silty limestone. Crenulated bedding. Many fractures. The rock is hard and brittle. Many calcite seams and pockets.
R-1 5.0'-8.5', 75% rec.
R-2 8.5'-12.0', 30% rec.
R-3 12.0'-17.0', 78% rec.
R-4 17.0'-22.0', 18% rec.
R-5 22.0'-25.7', 70% rec.
R-6 25.7'-28.0', 130% rec.
R-7 28.0'-30.3', 60% rec.
R-8 30.3'-32.0', 60% rec.
R-9 32.0'-37.0', 90% rec.
R-10 37.0'-42.0', 115% rec.
R-11 42.0'-47.0', 77% rec.
R-12 47.0'-50.0', 110% rec.
Frequent core blocking.
Ground water table at 19.5'.
Silt filled seams at 30'-33', 35'-36', and 36.5'-38.5'.
Pressure holding test 6'-11', no pressure loss.
Pressure flow test 11'-50', K=11 ft/day.
Pressure flow test 16'-50', K=0.02 ft/day.
Pressure holding test 11'-16', no pressure loss.

DN-7 E Sta. 15400 Elev. 933.5


0 - 4.0 Silty gravelly sand. (SM)
4.0-4.0 Bedrock. (Limestone)
Thinly bedded silty limestone. Vertically inclined beds. Many calcite-filled seams. Severe fracturing in top 8' of core.
R-1 4.0'-6.2', 85% rec.
R-2 6.2'-10.0', 80% rec.
R-3 10.0'-14.5', 107% rec.
R-4 14.5'-19.5', 105% rec.
Ground water table at 2.5'.
Pressure holding test 5'-10', no pressure loss.
Pressure holding test 10'-19.5', no pressure loss.
Small fault noted in R-3. Movement is not recent.

DN-8 E Sta. 16400 Elev. 560.5

0 - 1.3 Topsoil. (VL)
1.3-1.3 Bedrock. (Limestone)
Thinly bedded, heavily fractured silty limestone. Many calcite filled seams. Rock is hard and brittle. Bedding is near vertical.
R-1 1.3'-3.3', 35% rec.
R-2 3.3'-4.2', 60% rec.
R-3 4.2'-4.9', 100% rec.
R-4 4.9'-9.1', 95% rec.
R-5 9.1'-14.0', 105% rec.
R-6 14.0'-19.0', 100% rec.
R-7 19.0'-24.0', 97% rec.
R-8 24.0'-29.0', 104% rec.
R-9 29.0'-34.0', 102% rec.
Frequent core blocking. Ground water table at 7.2'.
Pressure holding test 5'-10', no pressure loss.
Pressure holding test 10'-24', no pressure loss.
Top 4' of bedrock is too fractured to test packers for pressure test.
Small fault in R-8.

DN-9 E Sta. 17400 Elev. 578


0 - 2.1 Topsoil. (VL)
2.1-2.1 Bedrock. (Limestone)
Bluish-grey silty limestone. Many calcite-filled fractures. Fresh rock is hard and brittle. Beds are near vertical.
R-1 2.1'-4.1', 25% rec.
R-2 4.1'-9.1', 55% rec.
R-3 9.1'-12.5', 95% rec.
R-4 12.5'-14.5', 85% rec.
R-5 14.5'-17.6', 133% rec.
R-6 17.6'-18.0', 0% rec.
R-7 18.0'-21.0', 68% rec.
R-8 21.0'-22.6', 56% rec.
R-9 22.6'-27.0', 92% rec.
R-10 27.0'-30.0', 90% rec.
R-11 30.0'-34.8', 83% rec.
R-12 34.8'-35.0', 85% rec.
R-13 35.0'-40.0', 81% rec.
R-14 40.0'-45.5', 72% rec.
R-15 45.5'-46.0', 80% rec.
R-16 46.0'-47.6', 100% rec.
R-17 47.6'-50.0', 105% rec.
R-18 50.0'-52.0', 102% rec.
Upper 20' of rock is badly fractured. All drill water was lost in top 10'.
Ground water table at 9.5'.
Frequent core blocking.
The rock is hard and brittle. Could not pressure test top 10' of rock due to fractured condition.
Pressure holding test 14.5-52.0, no pressure loss.
Pressure flow test 9.5'-11.5', K=0.1 ft/day.
Pressure flow test 11.5-20.5, no pressure loss.
Pressure holding test 20.5-52.0, no pressure loss.



LIMESTONE STREAM WATERSHED PROJ. MULTI-PURPOSE STRUCTURE DAM NO. 3 WEBSTER BROOK SITE LIMESTONE, MAINE LOGS OF DRILL HOLES	
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE	
INVEST. BY: D.C. ERNAKER TYPED BY: L.R. GERRY	DATE: 8-68 SHEET: 1-68 DRAWING NO: 3-67
Traced BY: C.C. SNEBILUS Checked BY: J. A. ...	DATE: 7-67 SHEET: No 21 of 21 Drawing No: ME-504-P

APPENDIX C

PHOTOGRAPHS



LEGEND

① PHOTO LOCATION

NOTE: Photo No. 8 is not shown on this map.

WEBSTER BROOK DAM PHOTO LOCATION

U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
PHASE I INSPECTION PROGRAM

MAIN

DATE November, 1980

CLIENT JOB PLATE

1345 72 4



Photo #1

General view of
Dam from right
Abutment




Photo #2

View of Principal
Spillway Intake
from Crest of
Dam.



Photo #3

Close-up View of
Principal Spillway
Intake Structure



Photo #4
View of Downstream
Slope with Outlet
Structure



Photo #5
Concrete Outlet
Structure



Photo #6
View of Outlet
Channel from
Crest of Dam



Photo # 7
Emergency Spillway



Photo # 8
Upstream Reservoir
Outlet Structure




Photo # 9
Center Road
Culverts

APPENDIX D

HYDROLOGIC & HYDRAULIC COMPUTATIONS

ESTIMATING EFFECT OF SURCHARGE STORAGE ON MAXIMUM PROBABLE DISCHARGES



STEP 1: Determine Peak Inflow (Q_{p1}) from Guide Curves.

STEP 2: a. Determine Surcharge Height To Pass " Q_{p1} ".

b. Determine Volume of Surcharge ($STOR_1$) In Inches of Runoff.


c. Maximum Probable Flood Runoff In New England equals Approx. 19", Therefore:

$$Q_{p2} = Q_{p1} \times \left(1 - \frac{STOR_1}{19}\right)$$

STEP 3: a. Determine Surcharge Height and " $STOR_2$ " To Pass " Q_{p2} "

b. Average " $STOR_1$ " and " $STOR_2$ " and Determine Average Surcharge and Resulting Peak Outflow " Q_{p3} ".

"RULE OF THUMB" GUIDANCE FOR ESTIMATING DOWNSTREAM DAM FAILURE HYDROGRAPHS



STEP 1: DETERMINE OR ESTIMATE RESERVOIR STORAGE (S) IN AC-FT AT TIME OF FAILURE.

STEP 2: DETERMINE PEAK FAILURE OUTFLOW (Q_{p1}).

$$Q_{p1} = \frac{8}{27} W_b \sqrt{g} Y_0^{3/2}$$

W_b = BREACH WIDTH - SUGGEST VALUE NOT GREATER THAN 40% OF DAM LENGTH ACROSS RIVER AT MID HEIGHT.

Y_0 = TOTAL HEIGHT FROM RIVER BED TO POOL LEVEL AT FAILURE.

STEP 3: USING USGS TOPO OR OTHER DATA, DEVELOP REPRESENTATIVE STAGE-DISCHARGE RATING FOR SELECTED DOWNSTREAM RIVER REACH.

STEP 4: ESTIMATE REACH OUTFLOW (Q_{p2}) USING FOLLOWING ITERATION.

A. APPLY Q_{p1} TO STAGE RATING, DETERMINE STAGE AND ACCOMPANYING VOLUME (V_1) IN REACH IN AC-FT. (NOTE: IF V_1 EXCEEDS 1/2 OF S, SELECT SHORTER REACH.)

B. DETERMINE TRIAL Q_{p2} .

$$Q_{p2}(\text{TRIAL}) = Q_{p1} \left(1 - \frac{V_1}{S}\right)$$

C. COMPUTE V_2 USING Q_{p2} (TRIAL).

D. AVERAGE V_1 AND V_2 AND COMPUTE Q_{p2} .

$$Q_{p2} = Q_{p1} \left(1 - \frac{V_{\text{avg}}}{S}\right)$$

STEP 5: FOR SUCCEEDING REACHES REPEAT STEPS 3 AND 4.

APRIL 1978

SURCHARGE STORAGE ROUTING SUPPLEMENT

STEP 3: a. Determine Surcharge Height and
"STOR₂" To Pass "Q_{p2}"

b. Avg "STOR₁" and "STOR₂" and
Compute "Q_{p3}".

c. If Surcharge Height for Q_{p3} and
"STOR_{avg}" agree O.K. If Not:

STEP 4: a. Determine Surcharge Height and
"STOR₃" To Pass "Q_{p3}"

b. Avg. "Old STOR_{avg}" and "STOR₃"
and Compute "Q_{p4}"

c. Surcharge Height for Q_{p4} and
"New STOR_{avg}" should Agree
closely

MAIN

Client CORPS OF ENGINEERS Job No. 1345-072 Sheet 1 of 14
 Subject WEBSTER BROOK RES. FLOOD ROUTING By T. OTTUM Date 1-27-81
CURVE FITTING Cld. _____ Rev. _____

	X(I)	Y(I)	X(I)	YHAT
1	2552.0000	585.0000		
2	2700.0000	585.5000	4000.00	590.09
3	2800.0000	585.9000	X(I)	YHAT
4	2900.0000	586.4000		
5	3000.0000	586.8000	4100.00	590.38
6	3100.0000	587.2000	X(I)	YHAT
7	3200.0000	587.6000		
8	3300.0000	588.0000	4200.00	590.66
9	3400.0000	588.3000	X(I)	YHAT
10	3500.0000	588.5000		
11	3600.0000	588.6000	4300.00	590.94
			X(I)	YHAT

LOG REG CODE 2
 SOURCE OF 33 MS F
 TOTAL 10 15.7
 REG 1 15.4 15.4 499.0
 REG 2 0.3 0.0
 R SQUARE = 0.982

YHAT = 492.907 + 11.717 LOG X

X(I)	YHAT	X(I)	YHAT
2500.00	584.58	4700.00	591.98
X(I)	YHAT	X(I)	YHAT
2600.00	585.04	4800.00	592.23
X(I)	YHAT	X(I)	YHAT
2700.00	585.49	4900.00	592.47
X(I)	YHAT	X(I)	YHAT
2800.00	585.91	5000.00	592.71
X(I)	YHAT	X(I)	YHAT
2900.00	586.32	5100.00	592.94
X(I)	YHAT	X(I)	YHAT
3000.00	586.72	5200.00	593.17
X(I)	YHAT	X(I)	YHAT
3100.00	587.10	5300.00	593.39
X(I)	YHAT	X(I)	YHAT
3200.00	587.48	5400.00	593.61
X(I)	YHAT	X(I)	YHAT
3300.00	587.84	5500.00	593.82
X(I)	YHAT	X(I)	YHAT
3400.00	588.19	5600.00	594.03
X(I)	YHAT	X(I)	YHAT
3500.00	588.53		
X(I)	YHAT		
3600.00	588.86		
X(I)	YHAT		
3700.00	589.18		
X(I)	YHAT		
3800.00	589.49		

MAIN

Client COAST OF ENGINEERS

Job No. 1345-072 Sheet 2 of 14


Subject WEBSTER BROOK RESERVOIR

By T.OTOVA Date 1-27-81

FLOOD ROUTING

Chd. _____ Rev. _____

AREA - CAPACITY CURVE



MAIN

Client CORPS OF ENGINEERS Job No. 1345-072 Sheet 3 of 14
Subject WEBSTER BROOK RESERVOIR By T. OTOVA Date 1-28-81
FLOOD ROUTING Ckd. _____ Rev. _____

Drainage Area = 4.06 sq. mi.

For rolling terrain $q_{PMF} = 1850$ cfs

These results are based on 19" runoff.

The Depth-Area-Duration curves yield 13" of runoff for the area considered and this is used in the calculations insted of 19" as is shown in Corps of Engineers Guidelines.


$$\text{New } q_{PMF} = 1850 \times \frac{13}{19} = 1265.8 \text{ cfs}$$

$$Q_{\text{Test flood}} = 1265.8 \times 4.06 = 5139 \text{ cfs.}$$

MAIN

Client CORPS OF ENGINEERS Job No. 1345-072 Sheet 3^A of 14
Subject WEBSTER BROOK By T. OTAVA Date 4-25-80
FLOOD LEVEL CALCULATIONS Ckd. _____ Rev. _____

CALCULATION OF THE POOL ELEVATION FOR 208 cfs
DISCHARGE FROM THE PRINCIPAL SPILLWAY:



$$L = 7.5 \text{ ft} \times 2 = 15.0 \text{ ft}$$

$$C = 3.3 \text{ (Spillway Cof)}$$

$$H = \left(\frac{Q}{C \times L} \right)^{2/3}$$

$$H = \left(\frac{208}{3.3 \times 15} \right)^{2/3} = 2.6 \text{ ft.}$$

$$\text{Pool EIV} = 585 + 2.6 = 587.6 \text{ ft. D-8}$$

Client CORPS OF ENGINEERS Job No. 1345-072 Sheet 3^B of 14
 Subject WEBSTER BROOK By T. OTOVA Date 4-25-80
FLOOD LEVEL CALCULATIONS Ckd. _____ Rev. _____

PIPE SPILLWAYS

PRINCIPAL SPILLWAY

The formula used in these calculations is presented in the Bureau of Reclamation's DESIGN OF SMALL DAMS (1977) Page 567, Figure B-16.

$$H_t = \left[2.5264 * (1 + K_e) / D^4 + 466.18 * n^2 * L / D^{16/3} \right] * (Q/10)^2$$

Where,

H_t = Head in feet
 K_e = Entrance loss coefficient
 D = Diameter of pipe in feet
 n = Mannings roughness coefficient
 L = Length of culvert in feet
 Q = Design discharge rate in cfs

The results prove that the controlling structure is 30" ϕ pipe.

K_e = .2

D = 2.5 (ft)

n = .01

L = 233 (ft)

ENTRANCE ELV = 585 (ft)


OUTLET ELV = 531 (ft)

ELEVATION (ft) DISCHARGE (cfs)

594.75	200
590.95	200
587.15	100
583.35	100
579.55	100
575.75	100
571.95	100
568.15	100
564.35	100
560.55	100
556.75	100
552.95	100
549.15	100
545.35	100
541.55	100
537.75	100
533.95	100
530.15	100
526.35	100
522.55	100
518.75	100
514.95	100
511.15	100
507.35	100
503.55	100
499.75	100
495.95	100
492.15	100
488.35	100
484.55	100
480.75	100
476.95	100
473.15	100
469.35	100
465.55	100
461.75	100
457.95	100
454.15	100
450.35	100
446.55	100
442.75	100
438.95	100
435.15	100
431.35	100
427.55	100
423.75	100
419.95	100
416.15	100
412.35	100
408.55	100
404.75	100
400.95	100
397.15	100
393.35	100
389.55	100
385.75	100
381.95	100
378.15	100
374.35	100
370.55	100
366.75	100
362.95	100
359.15	100
355.35	100
351.55	100
347.75	100
343.95	100
340.15	100
336.35	100
332.55	100
328.75	100
324.95	100
321.15	100
317.35	100
313.55	100
309.75	100
305.95	100
302.15	100
298.35	100
294.55	100
290.75	100
286.95	100
283.15	100
279.35	100
275.55	100
271.75	100
267.95	100
264.15	100
260.35	100
256.55	100
252.75	100
248.95	100
245.15	100
241.35	100
237.55	100
233.75	100
229.95	100
226.15	100
222.35	100
218.55	100
214.75	100
210.95	100
207.15	100
203.35	100
199.55	100
195.75	100
191.95	100
188.15	100
184.35	100
180.55	100
176.75	100
172.95	100
169.15	100
165.35	100
161.55	100
157.75	100
153.95	100
150.15	100
146.35	100
142.55	100
138.75	100
134.95	100
131.15	100
127.35	100
123.55	100
119.75	100
115.95	100
112.15	100
108.35	100
104.55	100
100.75	100
96.95	100
93.15	100
89.35	100
85.55	100
81.75	100
77.95	100
74.15	100
70.35	100
66.55	100
62.75	100
58.95	100
55.15	100
51.35	100
47.55	100
43.75	100
39.95	100
36.15	100
32.35	100
28.55	100
24.75	100
20.95	100
17.15	100
13.35	100
9.55	100
5.75	100
1.95	100
0.15	100

Client CORPS OF ENGINEERS Job No. 1345-072 Sheet 4 of 14
Subject WEBSTER BROOK RESERVOIR By T. OTOVA⁰⁰⁹ Date 1-2-81
FLOOD ROUTING Ckd. _____ Rev. _____

EMERGENCY SPILLWAY:



$$Q = \frac{1.49 \times A \times R^{2/3} \times S^{1/2}}{n}$$

Slope $S = 0.04$

Assumed $m = 0.03$

AN open channel flow was assumed as being more conservative.

By using Slope - Area Computer program the rating curve of the emergency spillway was derived, which is shown on the next page.

A curve fitting analysis was performed and the exponential formula of the curve was found to be

$$H_{ELV} = 0.0183287 \times V^{0.5816837} + 588.6$$

MAIN

Client CORPS OF ENGINEERS Job No. 1345-072 Sheet 5 of 14
 Subject WEBSTER BROOK RESERVOIR By T. O'DONNELL Date 1-2-81
FLOOD ROUTING Ckd. _____ Rev. _____

SLOPE-AREA METHOD

NO OF CROSS-SEC. POINTS = 4

SLOPE = 0.04

H(1) = 5.99
 H(2) = 5.98
 H(3) = 5.98
 H(4) = 5.98

L(1) = 0
 L(2) = 12.8
 L(3) = 112.8
 L(4) = 125.5

H(1) = .03
 H(2) = .03
 H(3) = .03

N.S. ELEV.

590

591

592

593

594

595

DISCHARGE

1768.8

4393.4


7340.1

12339.8

17552.9

23554.7

EMERGENCY SPILLWAY RATING CURVE



Client CORPS OF ENGINEERS

Job No. 1345-072 Sheet 6 of 14

Subject WEBSTER BROOK RESERVOIR
FLOOD ROUTING

By T. OTOVA Date 1-28-81

Ckd. _____ Rev. _____

ESTIMATING

EFFECT OF SURCHARGE STORAGE
ON MAXIMUM PROBABLE DISCHARGES

These calculations are performed according to the Corps of Engineers Guidelines

WEBSTER BROOK DAM

D R A I N A G E

DRAINAGE AREA,
 $A = 4.06$ (sq. mi.)

PEAK INFLOW,
 $Q_{p1} = 5139$ (cfs)

PRINCIPAL SPILLWAY CREST ELEV.,
 $ELV1 = 585$ (ft.)

EMERGENCY SPILLWAY CREST ELEV.,
 $ELV2 = 588.6$ (ft.)

Emergency Spillway Rating Curve is defined as,

$$H = a * Q^b$$

$$a = .0183287$$

$$b = .5816837$$

The Capacity - Elev. curve is defined as,

$$Elv = m + n * Log(\text{Volume})$$

$$m = 492.987$$

$$n = 11.717$$

TOTAL PMF RUNOFF,
 $R = 13$ (in.)

CALCULATIONS:

S T E P 1

Reduction of the Q_{p1} due to starting elevation at Principal Spillway crest elev.

Volume at 585 (ft.)

$$\text{Volume1} = \text{Exp}((\text{ELV1} - m)/n)$$

$$\text{Volume1} = 2590.94 \text{ (ac-ft)}$$

Volume at 588.6 (ft.)

$$\text{Volume2} = \text{Exp}((\text{ELV2} - m)/n)$$

$$\text{Volume2} = 3522.838 \text{ (ac-ft)}$$

Diff. of Volumes,

$$\text{Diff. Volume} = 931.897 \text{ (ac-ft)}$$

or,

$$\text{Diff. Volume, } D = 4.3 \text{ (in.)}$$

$$\text{NEW } Q_{p1} = Q_{p1} * (1 - D/R)$$

$$\text{NEW } Q_{p1} = 3437 \text{ (cfs)}$$

S T E P 2

Surcharge Height,

$$H = a * Q_{p1}^b$$

$$H = 2.08 \text{ (ft.)}$$

Surcharge Volume,

$$\text{ELV} = \text{ELV2} + H$$

$$\text{ELV} = 590.68 \text{ (ft.)}$$

$$\text{Volume} = 4210.705 \text{ (ac-ft)}$$

$$\text{STOR1} = \text{Volume} - \text{Volume2}$$

$$\text{STOR1} = 687.867 \text{ (ac-ft)}$$

or,

$$\text{STOR1} = 3.17 \text{ (in.)}$$

Client CORPS OF ENGINEERS Job No. 1345-072 Sheet 7 of 14
 Subject WEBSTER BROOK RESERVOIR By T. OTOLVA Date 1-28-81
FLOOD ROUTING Ckd. _____ Rev. _____

Corresponding Discharge.

$$Qp2 = Qp1 * (1 - \text{STOR1} / R)$$

$$Qp2 = 2597 \text{ (cfs)}$$

$$\text{NEW STO. AVE.} = (\text{OLD STO. AVE.} + \text{STOR3}) / 2$$

$$\text{NEW STO. AVE.} = 2.81 \text{ (in.)}$$

S T E P 3

$$Qp4 = Qp1 * (1 - \text{NEW STO. AVE.} / R)$$

$$Qp4 = 2693 \text{ (cfs)}$$

Surcharge Height.

Surcharge Height

$$H = a * Qp2 ^ b$$

$$H = 1.77 \text{ (ft.)}$$

$$H4 = a * Qp4 ^ b$$

$$H4 = 1.81 \text{ (ft.)}$$

Surcharge Volume, STOR2.

$$E2 = H4 + H2$$

$$E2 = 590.41 \text{ (ft.)}$$

$$\text{ELV} = \text{ELV2} + H$$

$$\text{ELV} = 590.37 \text{ (ft.)}$$

$$\text{Volume} = 4099.254 \text{ (ac-ft)}$$

$$\text{Diff Volume} = \text{Volume} - \text{Volume2}$$

$$\text{Diff Volume} = 576.416 \text{ (ac-ft)}$$

or

$$\text{STOR2} = 2.66 \text{ (in.)}$$

C H E K I N G :

$$E3 - E2 = .01 \text{ (ft.)}$$

$$\text{OLD STO. AVE.} = (\text{STOR1} + \text{STOR2}) / 2$$

$$\text{OLD STO. AVE.} = 2.91 \text{ (in.)}$$

R E S U L T S

$$Qp3 = Qp1 * (1 - \text{OLD STO. AVE.} / R)$$

$$Qp3 = 2665 \text{ (cfs)}$$

AVERAGED DISCHARGE = 2679 (cfs)
 WATER SURFACE ELEV. = 590.4 (ft.)
 SURCHARGE HEIGHT = 1.8 (ft.)

S T E P 4

CREST ELEV. OF THE DAM:
 $E_c = 595 \text{ (ft.)}$

Surcharge Height

VOLUME AT DAM CREST ELEV.
 $V_c = 6082.902 \text{ (ac-ft)}$

$$H3 = a * Qp3 ^ b$$

$$H3 = 1.8 \text{ (ft.)}$$

VOLUME AT MAX. WATER SURFACE ELEV
 $V_u = 4110.626 \text{ (ac-ft)}$

Diff. Volume, STOR3.

$$E1 = H3 + H2$$

$$E1 = 590.4 \text{ (cfs)}$$

$$\text{Volume} = \text{Exp}((E1 - m) / n)$$

$$\text{Volume} = 4108.68 \text{ (ac-ft)}$$

$$\text{STOR3} = \text{Volume} - \text{Volume2}$$

$$\text{STOR3} = 585.842 \text{ (ac-ft)}$$

or

$$\text{STOR3} = 2.7 \text{ (in.)}$$

$$4110$$

$$- 585$$

$$= 3525$$

Client CORPS OF ENGINEERS Job No. 1345-032 Sheet 8 of 14
 Subject WEBSTER BROOK DAM By T. O'DONNELL Date 1-28-81
FAILURE ANALYSES Ckd. _____ Rev. _____

**WEBSTER BROOK
DAM FAILURE ANALYSES**

These calculations are performed
according to the RULE OF THUMB
procedures of the
Corps of Engineers

The breach discharge:

$$Q_{b1} = 8/27 * W_b * a^{0.5} * Y_o^{3/2}$$

Where,

Y_o is the height of the breach (from river bed to the max. pool level)

W_b is 35% of the length of the dam, or $W_b = .35 * W_d$

a is the acceleration of the gravity (32.2 ft/sec²)

$$Y_o = 61.4 \text{ (ft)}$$

$$W_d = 550 \text{ (ft)}$$

$$W_b = 192 \text{ (ft)}$$

From above equation:
 $Q_{b1} = 155717 \text{ (cfs)}$

The natural channel cross sections are simplified as triangular cross sections

The stage-discharge relationship becomes as,

$$h = [1.868 * n * \tan(a) * Q / C \cos(a)^{2/3} / S^{.5}]^{3/8} \dots (I)$$

Where,

Q = Discharge (cfs)
 a = Side slope angle (deg)
 S = Channel slope

The cross section Area:

$$A = h^2 / \tan(a) \dots (II)$$

The Volume of the Reservoir:

$$V = 4110 \text{ (ac-ft)}$$

$$V = 179031500 \text{ (cub-ft)}$$

Client CORPS OF ENGINEERS
 Subject WEBSTER BROOK DAM
FAILURE ANALYSES

Job No. 1345-172 Sheet 9 of 14
 By T. OTOVA Date 1-18-81
 Ckd. _____ Rev. _____

REACH (1) CALCULATIONS

Test flood discharge:
 $Q_t = 2679$ (cfs)

$a = 3.81$ (deg.)
 $S = .005$
 $n = .07$
 $L = 500$ (ft)

From Formula (I),

Prefailure height,

$h_1 = 7.1$ (ft)

From Formula (II),

$A_1 = 765$ (sq-ft)

$Q = Q_{p1} + Q_t$

From Formula (I),

Total Height,
 $h = 32.9$ (ft)

From Formula (II),

Total Area,
 $A = 16314$ (sq-ft)

Residual Area,

$A_2 = A - A_1$
 $A_2 = 15549$ (sq-ft)

Residual Volume,

$V_1 = L * A_2$

$V_1 = 7774557$ (cub-ft)

$Q_{p2} = Q_{p1} * (1 - V_1 / V)$

$Q_{p2} = 148955$ (cfs)

From Formula (I),

$Q = Q_{p2} + Q_t$

$Q = 151634$ (cfs)

$h = 32$ (ft)

From Formula (II),

$A = 15789$ (ft)

Residual Area,

$A_2 = A - A_1$

$A_2 = 15023$ (ft)

$V_2 = A_2 * L$

$V_2 = 7511960$ (cub-ft)

$V_{ave} = (V_1 + V_2) / 2$

$V_{ave} = 7643259$ (cub-ft)

$Q_{p2} = Q_{p1} * (1 - V_{ave} / V)$

$Q_{p2} = 149069$ (cfs)

From Formula (I),

$Q = Q_{p2} + Q_t$

$h_2 = 32.4$ (ft)

RESULTS :

1.) Prefailure Height = 7.1 (ft)

2.) Postfailure Height = 32.4 (ft)

3.) Breach Discharge = 149069 (cfs)

4.) Reach Length = 500 (ft)

Client CORPS OF ENGINEERS
 Subject WEBSTER BROOK DAM
FAILURE ANALYSES

Job No. 1345-072 Sheet 10 of 14
 By T. OTOVA Date 1-28-81
 Ckd. _____ Rev. _____

REACH (2) CALCULATIONS

Test flood discharge:
 $Q_t = 2679$ (cfs)

$a = 3.81$ (deg.)
 $S = .005$
 $n = .07$
 $L = 500$ (ft)

From Formula (I),

Prefailure height,

$h_1 = 7.1$ (ft)

From Formula (II),

$A_1 = 765$ (sq-ft)

$Q = Q_{p1} + Q_t$

From Formula (I),

Total Height,
 $h = 32.4$ (ft)

From Formula (II),

Total Area,
 $A = 15797$ (sq-ft)

Residual Area,

$A_2 = A - A_1$
 $A_2 = 15032$ (sq-ft)

Residual Volume,

$V_1 = L * A_2$

$V_1 = 7516419$ (cub-ft)

$Q_{p2} = Q_{p1} * (1 - V_1 / V)$

$Q_{p2} = 142811$ (cfs)

From Formula (I),

$Q = Q_{p2} + Q_t$

$Q = 145490$ (cfs)

$h = 31$ (ft)

From Formula (II),

$A = 15306$ (ft)

Residual Area,

$A_2 = A - A_1$

$A_2 = 14541$ (ft)

$V_2 = A_2 * L$

$V_2 = 7270806$ (cub-ft)

$V_{ave} = (V_1 + V_2) / 2$

$V_{ave} = 7393613$ (cub-ft)

$Q_{p2} = Q_{p1} * (1 - V_{ave} / V)$

$Q_{p2} = 142913$ (cfs)

From Formula (I),

$Q = Q_{p2} + Q_t$

$h_2 = 31.9$ (ft)

RESULTS

1.) Prefailure Height = 7.1 (ft)

2.) Postfailure Height = 31.9 (ft)

3.) Breach Discharge = 142913 (cfs)

4.) Reach Length = 500 (ft)

Client CORPS OF ENGINEERS Job No. 1345-072 Sheet 11 of 14
 Subject WEBSTER BROOK DAM By T.OTOVA Date 1-28-89
FAILURE ANALYSES Ckd. _____ Rev. _____

REACH (3) CALCULATIONS

Test flood discharge:
 $Q_t = 2679 \text{ (cfs)}$

$a = 3.81 \text{ (deg.)}$
 $S = .005$
 $n = .07$
 $L = 500 \text{ (ft)}$

From Formula (I),

Prefailure height,

$h_1 = 7.1 \text{ (ft)}$

From Formula (II),

$A_1 = 765 \text{ (sq-ft)}$

$Q = Q_{p1} + Q_t$

From Formula (I),

Total Height,
 $h = 31.9 \text{ (ft)}$

From Formula (II),

Total Area,
 $A = 15314 \text{ (sq-ft)}$

Residual Area,

$A_2 = A - A_1$
 $A_2 = 14549 \text{ (sq-ft)}$

Residual Volume,

$V_1 = L \times A_2$

$V_1 = 7274840 \text{ (cub-ft)}$

$Q_{p2} = Q_{p1} \times (1 - V_1 / V)$

$Q_{p2} = 137106 \text{ (cfs)}$

From Formula (I),

$Q = Q_{p2} + Q_t$

$Q = 139785 \text{ (cfs)}$

$h = 31 \text{ (ft)}$

From Formula (II),

$A = 14854 \text{ (ft)}$

Residual Area,

$A_2 = A - A_1$

$A_2 = 14089 \text{ (ft)}$

$V_2 = A_2 \times L$

$V_2 = 7044607 \text{ (cub-ft)}$

$V_{ave} = (V_1 + V_2) / 2$

$V_{ave} = 7159724 \text{ (cub-ft)}$

$Q_{p2} = Q_{p1} \times (1 - V_{ave} / V)$

$Q_{p2} = 137198 \text{ (cfs)}$

From Formula (I),

$Q = Q_{p2} + Q_t$

$h_2 = 31.4 \text{ (ft)}$

RESULTS

1.) Prefailure Height = 7.1 (ft)

2.) Postfailure Height = 31.4 (ft)

3.) Breach Discharge = 137198 (cfs)

4.) Reach Length = 500 (ft)

Client CORPS OF ENGINEERS
 Subject WEBSTER BRIDGE DAM
FAILURE ANALYSES

Job No. 1345-072 Sheet 12 of 14
 By T. OTOVA Date 1-28-81
 Ckd. _____ Rev. _____

REACH (4) CALCULATIONS

Test flood discharge:
 $Q_t = 2679 \text{ (cfs)}$

$s = 3.81 \text{ (deg.)}$
 $C = 905$
 $n = .07$
 $L = 500 \text{ (ft)}$

From Formula (I),

Prefailure height,

$h_1 = 7.1 \text{ (ft)}$

From Formula (II),

$A_1 = 765 \text{ (sq-ft)}$

$Q = Q_{p1} + Q_t$

From Formula (I),

Total Height,
 $h = 31.4 \text{ (ft)}$

From Formula (II),

Total Area,
 $A = 14861 \text{ (sq-ft)}$

Residual Area,

$A_2 = A - A_1$
 $A_2 = 14096 \text{ (sq-ft)}$

Residual Volume,

$V_1 = L \times A_2$

$V_1 = 7048269 \text{ (cub-ft)}$

$Q_{p2} = Q_{p1} \times (1 - V_1 / V)$

$Q_{p2} = 131796 \text{ (cfs)}$

From Formula (I),

$Q = Q_{p2} + Q_t$

$Q = 134475 \text{ (cfs)}$

$h = 30 \text{ (ft)}$

From Formula (II),

$A = 14429 \text{ (ft)}$

Residual Area,

$A_2 = A - A_1$

$A_2 = 13664 \text{ (ft)}$

$V_2 = A_2 \times L$

$V_2 = 6832008 \text{ (cub-ft)}$

$V_{ave} = (V_1 + V_2) / 2$

$V_{ave} = 6940138 \text{ (cub-ft)}$

$Q_{p2} = Q_{p1} \times (1 - V_{ave} / V)$

$Q_{p2} = 131879 \text{ (cfs)}$

From Formula (I),

$Q = Q_{p2} + Q_t$

$h_2 = 31 \text{ (ft)}$

RESULTS

1.) Prefailure Height = 7.1 (ft)

2.) Postfailure Height = 31 (ft)

3.) Breach Discharge = 131879 (cfs)

4.) Reach Length = 500 (ft)

Client CORPS OF ENGINEERS
 Subject WEBSTER BROOK DAM
FAILURE ANALYSES

Job No. 1345-072 Sheet 13 of 14
 By T. OTOVA Date 1-28-81
 Ckd. _____ Rev. _____

REACH (5) CALCULATIONS

Test flood discharge:
 $Q_t = 2679 \text{ (cfs)}$

$a = 3.81 \text{ (deg.)}$
 $s = .005$
 $n = .07$
 $L = 500 \text{ (ft)}$

From Formula (I),

Prefailure height,

$h_1 = 7.1 \text{ (ft)}$

From Formula (II),

$A_1 = 765 \text{ (sq. ft.)}$

$Q = Q_{p1} + Q_t$

From Formula (I),

Total Height,
 $h = 31 \text{ (ft)}$

From Formula (II),

Total Area,
 $A = 14435 \text{ (sq-ft)}$

Residual Area,

$A_2 = A - A_1$
 $A_2 = 13670 \text{ (sq-ft)}$

Residual Volume,

$V_1 = L * A_2$

$V_1 = 6835341 \text{ (cub-ft)}$

$Q_{p2} = Q_{p1} * (1 - V_1 / V)$

$Q_{p2} = 126844 \text{ (cfs)}$

From Formula (I),

$Q = Q_{p2} + Q_t$

$Q = 129523 \text{ (cfs)}$

$h = 30 \text{ (ft)}$

From Formula (II),

$A = 14028 \text{ (ft)}$

Residual Area,

$A_2 = A - A_1$

$A_2 = 13263 \text{ (ft)}$

$V_2 = A_2 * L$

$V_2 = 6631812 \text{ (cub-ft)}$

$Wave = (V_1 + V_2) / 2$

$Wave = 6733577 \text{ (cub-ft)}$

$Q_{p2} = Q_{p1} * (1 - Wave / V)$

$Q_{p2} = 126919 \text{ (cfs)}$

From Formula (I),

$Q = Q_{p2} + Q_t$

$h_2 = 30.5 \text{ (ft)}$

RESULTS:

1.) Prefailure Height = 7.1 (ft)

2.) Postfailure Height = 30.5 (ft)

3.) Breach Discharge = 126919 (cfs)

4.) Reach Length = 500 (ft)

Client CORPS OF ENGINEERS
 Subject WEBSTER BROOK DBM
FAILURE ANALYSES

Job No. 1345-032 Sheet 14 of 14
 By T. OTTAVIA Date 1-28-81
 Ckd. _____ Rev. _____

REACH (6) CALCULATIONS

Test flood discharge:
 $Q_t = 2679$ (cfs)

$a = 3.81$ (deg.)
 $s = .005$
 $n = .07$
 $L = 500$ (ft)

From Formula (I),

Prefailure height,

$h_1 = 7.1$ (ft)

From Formula (II),

$A_1 = 765$ (sq. ft.)

$Q = Q_{p1} + Q_t$

From Formula (I),

Total Height,

$h = 30.5$ (ft)

From Formula (II),

Total Area,

$A = 14034$ (sq-ft)

Residual Area,

$A_2 = A - A_1$

$A_2 = 13269$ (sq-ft)

Residual Volume,

$V_1 = L * A_2$

$V_1 = 6634856$ (cub-ft)

$Q_{p2} = Q_{p1} * (1 - V_1 / V)$

$Q_{p2} = 122215$ (cfs)

From Formula (I),

$Q = Q_{p2} + Q_t$

$Q = 124894$ (cfs)

$h = 30$ (ft)

From Formula (II),

$A = 13651$ (ft)

Residual Area,

$A_2 = A - A_1$

$A_2 = 12885$ (ft)

$V_2 = A_2 * L$

$V_2 = 6442960$ (cub-ft)

$V_{ave} = (V_1 + V_2) / 2$

$V_{ave} = 6538908$ (cub-ft)

$Q_{p2} = Q_{p1} * (1 - V_{ave} / V)$

$Q_{p2} = 122283$ (cfs)

From Formula (I),

$Q = Q_{p2} + Q_t$

$h_2 = 30.1$ (ft)

RESULTS

1.) Prefailure Height = 7.1 (ft)

2.) Postfailure Height = 30.1 (ft)

3.) Breach Discharge = 122283 (cfs)

4.) Reach Length = 500 (ft)

APPENDIX E

INFORMATION AS CONTAINED IN THE
"NATIONAL INVENTORY OF DAMS IN THE UNITED STATES"

STATE		IDENTITY NUMBER					
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	

A - 1

A - 2

A-3

A-4

[illegible]

(B - 2)

0 - 3

B-4

(B - 5)

B - 6

8-7

四一四

R-9

B-10

8-11

112

[illegible]

C - 1

C - 2

(C-3)

C-4

C-5

C-8

6-2

[illegible][illegible]

	A	9	10	1	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80
--	---	---	----	---	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----

NED FORM JAN 79 00 (TEST)

TC557

.M2

ME 229

Webster Brook Dam, Limestone, Maine:
phase I inspection report, National
Dam Inspection Program. -- Waltham,
Mass. : U.S. Army Corps of Engineer
New England Division, 1981.
vi, [57] p. : ill., maps ; 28 cm. -

(ME00229)

c.1

"September 1981"

c.2

1. Dams--Inspection--Maine--Webster
Brook Dam. 2. Dam safety--Maine--
Webster Brook Dam. 3. Webster Brook
Dam (Me.)--Inspection. 4. Limestone
(Me.)--Dams. 5. Saint John River
watershed (Me.)--Dams. I. United
States. Army. Corps of Engineers. New
England Division. II. Series

29 OCT 86 14562822 AEEMsl